1	CITY OF HENRY											
2	STATE OF ILLINOIS											
3												
4												
5												
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF											
7	BUFFALO RUN											
8	LIVESTOCK MANAGEMENT FACILITY											
9												
10												
11	PUBLIC HEARING											
12	JUNE 4, 2019											
13	TCATAU DODEDTC CCD DDD											
14	ISAIAH ROBERTS, CSR, RPR											
15												
16	Public Hearing held in the matter of the											
17	proposed construction of Livestock Management Facility											
18	on June 4, 2019, between the hours of 6:00 and											
19	10:00 p.m. at the Henry-Senachwine High School											
20	Auditorium at 1023 College Avenue, Henry, Illinois,											
21	61357 before Isaiah Roberts, Illinois Certified											
22	Shorthand Reporter and Registered Professional											
23	Reporter.											
24												

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE By: Warren D. Goetsch, P.E., Deputy Director John Teefey, Hearing Officer
4	Doug Owens, Livestock Program Manager
5	Rosario Johnstone, Manager 801 East Sangamon Avenue P.O. Box 19281
6	Springfield, Illinois 62794 Phone: 217.558.0014
7	111011C 217.330.0011
8	MAURER-STUTZ ENGINEERS By: Gayle C. Baker, P.E.
9	3116 N. Dries Lane, Suite 100 Peoria, Illinois, 61604
10	Phone: 309.693.7615
11	
12	VETERINARY MEDICAL CENTER/VMC MANAGEMENT By: Mr. Nicolas Rippel, Applicant
13	411 N. Highland P.O. Box 342
14	Williamsburg, Iowa 52361 Phone: 319.668.1111
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	INDEX																		
2																		PAGE	
3	Exhibit	No.	1 .	•		•				•		•			•	•		15	
4 5	Exhibit	No.	2.	•		•		•		•		•			•			15	
6	Exhibit	No.	3.	•		•				•		•			•			54	
7	Exhibit	No.	4 .	•		•				•		•	•		•	•		162	
8	Exhibit	No.	5.	•		•		•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	162	
9	Exhibit	No.	6.	•		•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	162	
10																			
11																			
12																			
13																			
14																			
15																			
16																			
17																			
18																			
19																			
20																			
21																			
22 23																			
د ک																			

1 (6:03 p.m., proceedings commenced.)

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Before we begin tonight, I'd ask that everyone turn their cellphones to silent. Thank you so very much.

Good evening. On behalf of John Sullivan,
Director of the Illinois Department of Agriculture, we
thank you very much for the invitation to come to
Marshall County today.

My name is John Teefey. I am with the Illinois
Department of Agriculture, and I will be serving as the
hearing officer for tonight's public informational
meeting. Also with me on behalf of the Department are
Warren Goetsch, Deputy Director of the Illinois
Department of Agriculture; Doug Owens, Chief of the
Department's Bureau of Environmental Programs; and
Rosario Johnstone, Manager of the Bureau's Technical
Services and Pesticide Laboratory section.

This meeting is being conducted pursuant to

Section 12 of the Livestock Management Facilities Act.

The informational meeting is being held at the request
of the Marshall County board and is to afford members
of the public an opportunity to ask questions and
present oral and written testimony regarding the
proposed construction of a new 3,966 animal unit swine

facility by VMC management.

My task this evening is to ensure that this meeting is conducted in an orderly fashion and to ensure that all comments and testimony received are entered into the record. Tonight's meeting is being transcribed. The transcript of the meeting will be sent to the Marshall County board, as well as used by the Department of Agriculture, in making its determination regarding the proposed construction of this facility.

In order to ensure that we have an orderly process, I will quickly explain how this meeting will proceed this evening.

First, following my comments, Warren Goetsch will provide an overview of the provisions of the Livestock Management Facilities Act as it relates to this particular project, specifically outlining the current status of the project and how the process will proceed following this meeting.

Following Mr. Goetsch, representatives for the proposed construction process will be given an opportunity to describe the project and demonstrate how they believe it meets the siting criteria of the Livestock Management Facilities Act.

After their presentation, I will open the meetings to questions. Anyone wishing to ask questions of the facility representatives or the Department will be given an opportunity to do so. During the question and answer session, I will ask that you state your name and spell your last name for the court reporter. You then may ask your question.

Depending on the number of people that wish to testify in the oral testimony phase of the meeting, which is after the questions phase, there may be a time limit placed on the questioning phase.

Following the question and answer phase, I will ask for written testimony. If anyone has written testimony that is not part of your oral testimony, I will accept it and enter it into the record for this proceeding. If you have written material that you will be using as part of the oral testimony, it can be entered into the record following your oral testimony.

Then, depending on the amount of time that has elapsed at this point in the meeting, we may take a short break.

Following the written testimony, I will ask for oral testimony from the public. Sign-in sheets were placed at the front door as you came in, one sheet for

attendances and the second sheet for oral testimony.

People who wish to provide comments during this oral testimony phase are asked to please sign the oral testimony sheet. People providing oral comments will be sworn in. Each person will be given three minutes to provide his or her comments. Legal counsel speaking on behalf of multiple clients will be given a total of 15 minutes for all clients and will be asked to state the names of all of the persons on whose behalf he or she is speaking.

Deferring time to other speakers will not be allowed. If you signed the oral testimony sheet, you may either speak or if you have changed your mind you may pass.

This meeting will then conclude with closing comments from the facility and the Department of Agriculture.

So to summarize the procedure tonight, we will first have comments from the Department, then comments from the facility, questions directed to the Department and the facility. Please remember to state your name and spell your last name. Written testimony will be accepted. Oral testimony will be accepted from the public. Each speaker will be sworn in. Then there

will be closing comments.

Please keep in mind that we are not here this evening to discuss or debate the merits or perceived inadequacies of the existing regulations or laws. We are here tonight to receive information on this particular proposed livestock facility to assist with determining compliance with the existing regulations. This is a public informational meeting, not a court proceeding. The purpose is to share information and provide an opportunity for the Department, members of the County Board, and you, the public, to learn about this proposed facility.

Again, we very much appreciate your hospitality for inviting us here tonight to consider this proposed construction of the Buffalo Run swine facility. Please remember to confine your comments and questions to that subject as we continue.

Before we turn to the Department's remarks, are there any County Board members that would like to be recognized at this time? Do you have any remarks you would like to make. Thank you.

I will now turn the proceedings over to Warren Goetsch for remarks from the Illinois Department of Agriculture.

MR. GOETSCH: Good evening. My name is Warren Goetsch. I currently serve as the Deputy Director of the Illinois Department of Agriculture. One of our responsibilities at the Department is the administration of the various provisions of the Livestock Management Facilities Act.

On behalf of the department, let me welcome you to this public informational meeting.

Before we hear from the proposed facility's representatives, I'd like to say a few words regarding the applicable provisions of the Livestock Management Facilities Act and the current status of this proposed project.

The Livestock Management Facilities Act was originally passed and became law on May 21st of 1996. Since that time, the Act has been amended seven times, three of which have been substantive.

The Act can be generally described as covering five major areas, those being: Facility design standards, waste management planning requirements, facility operator training and testing, anaerobic lagoon financial responsibility demonstration, and facility setback requirements.

Each of these provisions impacts various types

of facilities in different ways depending upon their
size, expressed in animal units, and whether the
proposed facility is considered as a new facility, a
modified facility, or the expansion of an existing
site.

The Livestock Management Facilities Act provisions are quite complicated and specific facility designs and situations certainly can differ. It is, however, the Department's intention to always fairly and equitably apply these requirements to the livestock industry in this state.

Now, regarding the current status of this proposal. The Department received a formal notice of intent to construct application for the proposed construction of a swine facility on April 24th of 2019. The proposed project is to consist of the construction of five structures.

The first being a gilt development building measuring 259 feet, 10 inches by 111 feet, 10 inches, with a 10-foot deep under building livestock waste handling facility.

The second structure is to be a gilt gestation building measuring 341 feet, 4 inches by 111 feet, 10 inches, also with a 10-foot deep under building

livestock waste handling facility.

The third structure, a gestation building, measuring 152, 1 inches by 501 feet, 4 inches, also with a ten-foot deep under building livestock waste handling facility.

The fourth structure, a gestation building measuring 152 feet, 1 inch by 47 -- excuse me, 477 feet, 4 inches, with a 10-foot deep under building livestock waste management facility.

And the fifth structure, a farrowing building, measuring 229 feet, 10 inches by 510 feet, 3 inches, with a two-foot deep under building livestock waste management structure.

The project is proposed to be located approximately 4.3 miles northeast of Camp Grove, Illinois in western Marshall County.

The application was submitted by VMC

Management. The maximum design capacity of the proposed facility is 3,966 animal units or 8,890 head of swine greater than 55 pounds and 13,653 head of swine less than 55 pounds.

As I mentioned earlier, the Department received the notice of intent to construct application on April 24, and we reviewed it for compliance with the

applicable provisions of the Act.

On May 6th, the Department determined that the notice was complete and forwarded a copy of the completed application to the Marshall County board.

Notice of that application was also published in the appropriate newspaper.

The design capacity of the proposed facility requires compliance with a residential setback distance of not less than 1,760 feet and a populated area setback distance of not less than 3,520 feet.

On May 15th, the Department received official notice from the Marshall County board requesting that a public informational meeting be scheduled regarding that proposal. After further consultation with the County Board, the Department scheduled this meeting and caused notice of the meeting to be published in the appropriate newspapers.

An additional requirement of the Livestock

Management Facilities Act deals with the design and

construction plans and specifications associated with a

livestock waste management facility. The Department

has not received a formal submittal of detailed

engineering design plans and specifications for the

proposed projects under building livestock waste

management facilities at this time.

As such, the Department is unable to comment as to their compliance with the statutory requirements of the act at this time.

We are here this evening to receive testimony regarding the proposed livestock facility's compliance with the eight siting criteria as defined in Section 12, Paragraph D of the Livestock Management Facilities Act.

In general, information regarding the following would be appropriate for this evening's meeting:

Manure management planning, potential impact of the proposed facility on the surrounding area's character, whether the proposed facility is located within any flood planes or other sensitive areas, odor control plans, possible impact of the proposed facility on existing area traffic patterns, and possible impact of the proposed facility on community growth, tourism, recreation or economic development of the area.

Copies of the specific criteria were available on the table with the sign-in sheets. If anyone would like to have a copy of the criteria but didn't happen to pick one up, if you'll identify yourself, we'll certainly see that you receive a copy. Does anyone

need a copy of the criteria? Okay.

Finally, the process that will be followed after this evening's meeting is as follows: The county board will have up to 30 business days from today's meeting to submit to the department a nonbinding recommendation relative to the proposed siting of this facility. Thus, a recommendation from the Marshall County Board is due at the Department on or before July 17th of the year 2019.

After the close of the County's 30 business day comment period, the Department will have 15 calendar days or until August 1st to review all of the information submitted to date, including the notice of intent to construct, the construction plans and specifications, transcripts from tonight's meeting, the County Board's recommendation, and any other additional information submitted by the owners at the request of the Department.

Based on that review, the Department will determine whether the eight sited criteria have been met. Once that determination has been made, the Department will notify both the county board and the applicant of the Department's decision.

Mr. Hearing Officer, at this time I'd like to

```
submit the completed notice of intent to construct
1
 2
    application and its associated correspondence file for
    formal entry into the record as an exhibit. I'd also
 3
    like to present a copy of the PowerPoint presentation
 4
5
    that accompanied my remarks as an exhibit as well.
6
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
                                      Thank you,
    Mr. Goetsch.
 7
            Entered into the record as Exhibit No. 1 is the
    completed notice of intent to construct, including
9
    correspondence between the Department and the
10
    applicant, notices of the public informational hearing,
11
    and correspondence with the Marshall County officials.
12
            Entered into the record as Exhibit No. 2 is the
13
    Department's PowerPoint presentation.
14
            At this time we will hear comments from the
15
    facility. Before you begin, for those who will be
16
17
    presenting information, please state your name and
18
    spell your last name for the court reporter, and then I
    will swear you all in together.
19
20
            Will the representatives who will be providing
    testimony please raise your right hand.
21
                   (Witnesses were sworn.)
22
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
23
                                      Thank you.
                                                  You may
24
    proceed with your testimony.
```

MR. RIPPEL: Good evening. My name is Nicolas Rippel. First name N-i-c-o-l-a-s, last name R-i-p-p-e-l. I'm a veterinarian with VMC Management.

But before we start tonight, I'd like to take the opportunity to thank the Illinois Department of Agriculture for coordinating this meeting and giving us an opportunity to discuss our plans for Buffalo Run.

After I -- after my presentation tonight, Gayle
Baker will address how Buffalo Run will meet the eight
siting criteria of the Livestock Facilities Management
Act, so I will not belabor those points.

My goals for you tonight are to show you -- to illustrate to you how Buffalo Run will be a great success for Marshall County, much like Sandy Creek Lane has been a great success for Marshall County.

It's hard to believe that it's been two years since the first pigs came to Sandy Creek Lane. We started off with a tremendous open house with over 500 people attending. We ran out of pork chops within the first hour, and we've enjoyed tremendous community support ever since.

The second thing I want to illustrate is how we will raise pigs today, how we will raise pigs at Buffalo Run.

First, a brief background on myself. I was born and raised in Toluca, Illinois, and raised on a pig farm two miles outside of Toluca. I graduated from Fieldcrest High School in '95. I went on and earned my biochemistry and microbiology degree from Cornell College in '99, and then I received my DVM from the University of Illinois in 2003.

Then in 2003, I landed in West Branch, Iowa, in a mixed animal practice. Here, I cut my teeth on veterinary medicine. I practiced on dogs, cats, pigs, cattle, horses, sheep, goats, llamas, alpacas, and the occasional ferret. While in West Branch, I started a family -- got married and started a family, and today I have five rowdy kids, ages 13 down to 2, four girls and one boy.

Then in 2007 I had the opportunity to move over to the Veterinary Medical Center in Williamsburg, Iowa. Here, I was able to concentrate on one of my passions.

One of my passions are pigs.

The Veterinary Medical Center was started in 1978 as a three-doctor mixed animal practice. Over the years it has developed and grown into the current nine doctor practice it is today. We have three swine vets, including myself; we have four cattle vets; and then we

have two small animal vets.

In 1997, VMC Management was established in order to provide better service for pig farmers. But before I get into VMC Management and what we do, I want to make sure we're all speaking the same terminology. We've got various degrees of exposure to pigs in this room, so we're going to do a little vocabulary lesson.

First, a boar is a male breeding pig.

Second, a gilt is a female pig that has not yet delivered her first litter.

A sow is a mother pig that has developed at least one litter.

A barrow is simply a male that has been castrated.

A piglet is simply a baby pig.

And then we have this concept of farrowing. Farrowing is the act of giving birth. This is the delivery of piglets.

The other thing I want you to understand is that pig farming has become very specialized over the last 60 years. I grew up on what is called a farrow-to-finish pig farm two miles outside of Toluca. So on a single site, we bred adult female pigs, so sows. We cared for them during their pregnancy; we

delivered those pigs; cared for those pigs during the nursing period or the lactation period; and then at three weeks of age, we weaned those pigs or removed those pigs from their mother and took them to a barn called the nursery.

Here in the nursery, we started those pigs on feed and water, and they started to grow. After they got to a certain size, we moved them to a finisher where they continued to grow, where when they reached 300 pounds, they would go to market and become a pork chop on your dinner plate.

Today, we've separated out those phases of life of a pig to what we call a breed to wean or sow farm where -- and to a wean finish or nursing finisher sites. We've done this so we can provide more specialized care for those animals at each phase of their life and also to provide better, healthy pigs because we can separate those life cycles and get them separated out of the same farm.

So on breed to wean, we breed sows -- breed adult female pigs. We care for them during their pregnancy. We help them deliver their pigs, care for their pigs. Then when those pigs are three weeks of age and 15 pounds, they leave the sow farm and they go

to the wean, finish sites, which is a separate site.

Here, they are raised from 15 pounds up to 300 pounds,

at which time they go to market.

Now, it's important to note that Buffalo Run is a sow farm. So that is what -- so we specialize on breeding and producing a three-week old pig.

So VMC Management is a veterinarian-owned pig farm management business. We work with independent pig farmers, and they pool their resources to own a sow farm cooperatively, rather than each having their own sow farm independently. VMC Management provides the employees or the caretakers. We manage the employees. We manage the health and production of that sow farm for the independent pig farm owners.

Now, at the farm, all caretakers are Pork

Quality Assurance certified. What they do is they

specialize in breeding and caring for those sows during

their pregnancy, assisting in the birth of the baby

pigs, caring for them during the nursing period until

they're three to four weeks of age and 15 pounds, at

which time they will leave Buffalo Run and they'll go

to one of the independent pig farmers' own finishing

barns. Here, they'll be raised up from 15 pounds to

300 pounds in just a short six months.

so to bring us all together on what VMC management is, VMC Management pools their resources of independent pig farmers who give us the charge of producing a three week, 15-pound pig. We manage the caretakers. The weaned pigs get on a truck, and they leave Buffalo Run and go to the independent pig farmers own sites across the county side.

Now, at Buffalo Run we will employ 25 animal caretakers, and with an average salary of \$40,000. We provide health insurance, 401(k), paid time off, and, of course, the local residents are encouraged to apply.

Now, with Sandy Creek Lane in northeast

Marshall County and Buffalo Run being 24 miles away in

northwest Marshall County, we will have provided 50 new

jobs and a 2 million dollar annual payroll to Marshall

County. Another way to look at this is that last year

Sandy Creek Lane paid property taxes, and of that,

\$97,000 went to the Fieldcrest School District.

With Buffalo Run, \$90,000 will go to the Henry School District, the building we're standing in right now. So when Buffalo Run is in operation, we will have contributed \$187,000 to Marshall County school districts per year.

Yet another way, last year Sandy Creek Lane

1 | paid \$9,000 to Evans Township roads and bridges.

2 | Buffalo Run will pay \$10,000 to Saratoga road and

3 bridges. A total of \$19,000 will be paid to the

4 | township roads and bridges in Marshall County.

So my question for you today is: Why are we here? I know. We're supposed to be talking about Buffalo Run; right? But what is the real reason we're here tonight? When -- because 60 years ago, if I wanted to raise pigs in Marshall County, we didn't all gather in this auditorium to talk about it.

So as a veterinarian, when we're presented with a problem, we always look for the root cause. What's the root cause of the problem? Because if we don't understand what that is, we can only manage around the problem. We cannot eliminate the problem. And so in my analysis tonight of why we're here tonight, there's two root causes.

The first root cause is most people are very far removed from pig farming. They live in cities or for most of you in here tonight, we may live in a rural area, but we may not have direct access to pig farming or specific pig farming in this instance.

The other reason we're here tonight is that never before in history have we had such instantaneous

access to so much information right at our fingertips, right on our phones. Probably the fewest -- probably the least thing we use our phones for anymore is making a phone call.

The problem with that is that we all have busy lives. So we look something up; we take it for fact; but we need to be able to discern what we learned. Is it fact, fiction, or opinion? I fall in that group sometimes where I'm so busy, I don't take the time to figure out which three of those is that information I just got off my phone.

So here are some facts I want to share with you. This is a map of the U.S. pig inventory in 1959. You can see that in 1959 there are 68 million pigs in the United States in 1959. You can see the distribution. Here's Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, southern Minnesota, up into Wisconsin and down into Missouri.

This is the U.S. pig inventory today, the number of pigs in the United States. 72 million.

That's just a 6 percent increase in 60 years. Same distribution: Illinois, Illinois, Minnesota. So we're not here tonight because we have so many more pigs than we did 60 years ago. That's not the reason.

Let's look at some other facts. In 1959, there were 13 million farmers in the United States. Today, there's 3 million. That's a 77 percent decrease in farmers. In 1959, the U.S. population was 179 million. Today, we have 326 million people in the United States. That's an 82 percent increase. That calculates out that in 1959, 7.5 percent of the population were farmers. Today, we have one percent or less that are farmers.

So in 1959, if you weren't a farmer yourself, chances are you knew a farmer. If you had a question about farming or about pig farming, you asked a pig farmer. Today, if you've got a question about farming, we're 96 people removed from a farmer. So what do we do now? We go to Google. We go get on a Facebook page to ask the question. But you know what? The person on the other side of that account, chances are they're not a farmer either.

So my goal for the duration of my presentation tonight is to take your number from 96 people removed from a farmer to one. I'm going to show you how pig farmers and pig veterinarians like myself have worked together using science and technology to raise healthier pigs and better cared for pigs than we've

ever known in history.

Let's start with a proper diet and nutrition.

This is how we fed pigs in 1960. We cracked some corn, threw some protein on the ground or in a trough, and that's how we fed our pigs.

This is how we'll feed pigs at Buffalo Run.

This is a type of feeder that will be used to feed our developing replacement gilts, our gilts that will be bread for the first time. An auger, or what we call the feed line, keeps this hopper full of fresh feed --full of fresh feed 24/7. So whether it's 2:00 in the morning or 2:00 in the afternoon, that gilt -- that girl can come up and get a fresh meal.

Our pregnant females, our pregnant sows at Buffalo Run, they'll receive feed through what we call an electronic sow feeding station.

How does this work? It all starts with an ear tag, an electronic button ear tag. That sow will enter this gate, and behind her this gate will lock. When that female puts her head into the feed bowl, the system will scan her ear tag and it will drop a programmed amount of feed to her. Once she is done eating that feed, she exits, the door unlocks, and another sow is allowed to enter and get her meal for

the day.

Using electronic sow feeding stations, we are able to monitor what sows eat, what sows are currently eating, and what sows have not fed for the day. Each and every day we look at that. In fact, every day we send our caretakers out to the barn and we look for the sows who haven't eaten, because we want to know if they're sick, if they're injured, and occasionally they do loose a tag. So every day we look for those sows.

And so to understand how we're supposed to feed these sows, we look at these sows multiple times throughout their pregnancy because we want them to be in a good state of well being to have an ideal body condition. So that's how we program the feeding station to deliver the correct amount of feed.

Keeping -- can you hit that on the video? This is a video that will demonstrate how electronic sow feeding works. So you can see that these are pregnant females, pregnant sows in a gestation barn that's going to look very similar to Buffalo Run. You can see how they're all in close, mutual contact, like hot dogs in a package. Pigs like to lie in close distal contact. They're social creatures.

So here you'll see the GoPro cam on the back of

a sow going towards -- these are all individual
electronic sow feeding stations. Everybody is waiting
their turn. This sow has an opportunity to enter. The
door locks behind her. That protects her from another
sow from barging in.

Here's another illustration. The sow goes in, the door locks, and she has to wait her turn. I need this for my kids.

Here is the full sequence. The sow enters; the door locks behind her; she sticks her head in the feed bowl; the system reads her electronic tag and says this sow needs five pounds of feed. It dispenses a quarter pound of feed every 30 seconds until she has consumed all of her feed allowed for the day. The sow is waiting patiently or impatiently; she has eaten all of her feed; the system times out in the case; and the next sow is able to come in. This sow will exit through the alley and return to the pen and return to have a little fun with her buddies.

So just before our sows deliver their pigs, we take them to a barn called a farrowing barn, the delivery barn or maternity ward. Here, we do house them in individual stalls to manage sow aggression, protect the new born pigs, and ensure proper nutrition

to both the piglet and the sow during lactation.

Let's start with piglet nutrition. The most critical point in a pig's life is those first few hours after birth. So that's why our caretakers will make rounds through the barn and assist those sows, those mother pigs, every 20 minutes assist them and feed them. If they don't need assisted, they're drying newborn pigs. We want dry newborn pigs because we want them to be warm and go attack that underline and get their first meal of milk and colostrum as quickly as possible.

Then we take steps after that litter has been completely delivered to ensure that everybody does receive colostrum. Colostrum is the first milk that a sow produces. It's full of energy rich -- it's energy rich and it's full of antibodies, immunity. Unlike human babies who receive immunity from moms during pregnancy, that does not happen -- that does not happen to pigs. All of the immunity to a pig comes through mom's first milk, and we have to get that in in the first 12 hours of birth.

The next thing we want to ensure is that we got proper nutrition for the mama's sows. So we've designed feed systems that provide a constant source of

feed 24-7. It takes a lot of energy to deliver a litter and produce milk to support that litter.

So unlike the 1960s where we threw cracked corn and some protein on the ground, we won't just have one feed ration at Buffalo Run. We'll have up to ten different rations based on the age or the phase of that pig.

For example, our developing guilds will go
through at least six different rations depending on
what age they are, which would be different than the
ration of feed that we feed our pregnant guilds, which
is yet different than the feed that we feed our
pregnant sows, which is different than all of the
energy that we need to make sure that feed mom has when
she's nursing that litter. And then, finally, we'll
have yet another ration for that female before we
rebreed her.

Now, what's the number one ingredient in pig feed? Corn. Buffalo Run will use 300,000 bushel of corn a year, which equates to over a million dollars worth of locally grown corn.

What is the number two ingredient in pig feed? Soybeans in the form of soybean meal. Buffalo Run will use 1,700 ton of soybean meal or \$500,000 worth of

soybean meal.

Now, I just spent the last couple of minutes talking about everything that goes into a pig. We all know what goes into the pig that is not used for growth, maintenance, and the reproduction eventually comes out of the pig.

Underneath our barns at Buffalo Run we have these structures that we call pits, and these are designed by smart people like Gayle Baker here. In these pits we collect the urine and feces that the pigs produce. This becomes natural fertilizer because we test this natural fertilizer for its fertilizer value in the spring and fall, and then we test the surrounding fields, the crop land for its fertilizer needs, and then we apply it based on those needs.

So corn goes to feed pigs; pigs produce natural fertilizer; natural fertilizer fertilizes next year's corn crop, which feeds next year's pigs. So pig farmers participate in one of the oldest forms of recycling known to man.

This is that map again of the U.S. pig inventory in 1959 with the 68 million pigs. Back in 1959, 99.999 percent of those pigs were raised outdoors. Buffalo Run will have a system called a

manure management plan, which is documentation of how we apply that natural fertilizer to the fields.

Does anyone know what the manure management plan was in 1959? The weather. So when it rained, that decided when the manure got into the environment. If that didn't work, they loaded up the old John Deere with a spreader and flung it out on the fields.

Today, Buffalo Run will use a method similar to -- incorporated with putting anhydrous ammonia into the ground. Can you press play on that please?

This is a video of a tractor in a field with what we call a toolbar. It's kind of hard to see in this room, but behind this toolbar is a hose. We call that a dragline. That dragline goes one to two miles back to the pig barn, where the pump is inside the pit pumping that natural fertilizer all the way back out to the tractor. It makes a furrow with its first set of discs, injects its into the ground with that hose, and covers it up with the second set of disks. This is how we manage the fertilizer from pig farms today.

The other thing we do for our pigs to keep them healthy is we provide them a fresh source, a constant source of fresh water. Water is essential for all life functions, for us, for pigs, for our pets.

Unfortunately, this picture does not show up very well, but this is a picture of what we call a water bar. On this water bar there's multiple water nipples. You can see all the pigs crowded up against that. These pigs were just weaned that day, and so we're using the social behavior of pigs, who, for the first three weeks of their life, all they know is that when it's time to eat, I got brother and sister on the side of me, on top of me, underneath me, trying to get a drink of milk.

We're doing this to attract them to water. When they discover the water, they'll find feed. The faster pigs start on feed and water, the less stress they'll be in. The less stress they'll be in, the healthier our pigs will be.

The same goes for our pregnant and our lactating sows. It takes -- I already said it took a lot -- it takes a lot of energy to deliver pigs and to maintain those pigs by producing that milk. It also takes a lot of water to produce that milk to support that litter.

Using the technology today, we know how much water we're using on our farms. In fact, I can tell you precisely we will use five gallons per sow, per day

at Buffalo Run. Do you know what the average person uses in the United States per day? 100 gallons per day.

The other thing we do for our pigs is we vaccinate them, very similar to how we vaccinate our children. Being a veterinarian, I'm lucky enough to get vaccinated for rabies. We use the same technology. Except for pigs, we're obviously going to use the viruses and bacteria that they're going to commonly be exposed to during their life to protect them. We'd rather prevent disease rather than treat disease.

The next thing that helps keep our pigs healthy is barn sanitation. And I tell you what, I have five kids at home. I need help with this area. We typically run pig barns on a group basis, whether it's a barn, a room, or a pen. We bring pigs in. We bring pigs out. We wash. We disinfect. We bring the next pigs in. This breaks the transmission of any viruses or bacteria that may be running around with those pigs. It keeps our pigs healthy.

Then we have this concept of biosecurity. This is -- this term describes how we -- the protocols we put in place to prevent the viruses and bacteria that are outside our farm from getting into our farm and

causing disease and animal suffering.

So when you see a sign like this -- when you pull up to a pig farm and you see a sign that says, "Stop. Do not enter without permission," this doesn't mean "Stop. Don't enter. We're doing some shady things in here." No. It means stop. We're more than happy to tell you how we raise pigs, but there's some steps you have to go through before you enter our barns because we're trying to protect the health of our pigs.

There's certain steps you need to do, such as:
When you come in our front door, you're going to see a
little wall there, and I'm going to ask you to sit on
it. You're going to sit on that wall, and you're going
to take your shoes and socks off. Without touching the
floor on this side of the wall, you're going to flip
your feet over to the other side, and you're going to
enter one of these doors here.

On the other side of those doors, you're going to find a locker. I'm going to ask you to take your street clothes off and put into that locker; you're going to step into the shower; you're going to scrub-a-dub up; you're going to step through to the other side, to the farm side; we're going to provide you with some clean farm clothes to wear; and then you

can enter our barns.

We do this to prevent the transmission of viruses and bacteria that can be trapped in our shoes, on our clothes, on our person. And we don't only handle people that way, we handle everything that comes into the farm that way. All of the supplies to run the farm get disinfected.

At Buffalo Run, we're going to use UV light, ultraviolet technology to disinfect the materials coming into the farm. So we'll either -- we'll have a room. Some farms will have a box. We'll use UV light, the same technology that hospitals use to disinfect.

Then we have veterinarian oversight. This keeps our pigs healthy as well. This is no different than if you get sick, if your kid gets sick, if your dog gets sick, you take them to the doctor or to the vet. Sometimes you just go for an annual check up or a sports physical. It's no different for pigs, except for that in veterinary medicine, we call it the veterinary-client patient relationship.

You got the veterinarian right here. You've got the client, which could be the owner or the caretaker, and you got the patient, the pig. And the reason we used this scenario is because I've never seen

an animal seek out veterinary services without a person attached to it.

Now, most of my health -- most of my work is done with healthy pigs; but pigs do -- can and do become sick. When they become sick, we collect the appropriate diagnostic samples, clinical examination, and we do prescribe antibiotics when necessary.

Now, it's important to note that all antibiotic use in pigs is approved and regulated by the Food and Drug Administration. There is also safeguards in place by the USDA that test meat for any antibiotic residues before it enters the food supply. So that's why we keep medication records on farms.

If we treat an animal, we record the date, all of the pertinent information, and a thing called a withdraw time. The withdraw time is a time that is -- a time that is set by the FDA that says -- that is the time that is required for that animal to metabolize that medication to a point where it's safe for the animal to enter the food chain -- the food supply.

So there are certain political organizations out there that want to take not only antibiotic use away from pig farmers, but from all livestock farmers.

But you got to ask yourself: Is a sick pig in a good state of well-being? A sick pig is suffering, so it's not. So withholding a necessary tool to relieve that suffering is not good welfare. But through judicious use of antibiotics, using the antibiotic correctly, we can preserve -- reserve the use of antibiotics -- the effectiveness of antibiotics -- for pigs, people, and your pets at home.

So to try to round this out tonight, pig
farmers are producing more pork with less, and they're
doing this by embracing science and technology. We're
doing it using enhanced genetics, you know, traditional
cross breeding programs. With science and technology,
we're able to go into a line or a breed of pigs and
find that gene that makes that pig grow faster on less
feed, and then take that pig and cross them with
another pig to make more pigs.

There's people out that there will use CT scans of pigs, do whole body pig CT scans to look at all of the internal organs and all of the structural, skeletal features of that pig to try and understand what makes that pig healthy -- what makes that pig healthy and do better in life.

We've already talked about nutrition, the right

nutrients at the right time.

Improved barns, we've brought pigs inside to protect them from the weather extremes, protect them from predators, eliminates virtually all parasites in pigs.

With technology, we have a higher -- the highest level of care, constant care that we've ever seen for our pigs.

We've talked about biosecurity. How can we prevent disease through the protocols we go through and the vaccinations we've used, rather that treat disease?

So in 1959, eight pigs is what it took us to make 1,000 pounds of pork. Today, it takes five pigs.

So when I say less, we got less farmers doing more with 76 percent less land, 25 percent less water, and 8 percent smaller carbon footprint and 7 percent less energy use than we did 60 years ago.

So, again, bringing this back to my root cause; right? I'm going to jump on my consumer awareness soapbox. I'm pushing a cart through Costco one day. I got my pallet full of toilet paper and my 55-gallon drum of milk. And I come across this package of bacon, and on this bacon it says, "No antibiotics. No added hormones...EVER! No preservatives." I'm reading that

```
label, and looking at the antibiotics. All right.
1
 2
    okay with that. That just means that regardless if
    that pig was healthy or sick, it never received
 3
    antibiotics. At that point -- it's a consumer decision
 4
5
    at that point. All right.
6
            But this one is the one that catches my eye:
    "No added hormones..." -- capital letters -- "EVER!"
 7
    Why does this one catch my eye? When you're looking at
    food packages, if there's more information about a
9
    claim, they'll have a little superscript. You can
10
11
    hardly see that on this package, so let's zoom in.
    They got a superscript number one. Down here in
12
    itty-bitty, teeny-weeny print, let's find that
13
    superscript number one.
14
            It says: "Federal regulations do not permit
15
    the use or hormones in pork." Now -- what the?
16
    up with that? If it's against the law to use hormones
17
18
    in pork, why put on the label, "No added
    hormones...EVER!" Why is it on the label?
19
20
            And to add insult to injury, let's look at
    superscript number 2 for no preservatives. "Federal
21
    Regulations do not permit the use of preservatives in
22
23
    pork." That's a double whammy.
```

So why are they doing this? They're trying to

differentiate their product from the dozens of other 1 2 packages of bacon on the shelf, when there's no difference to be found. This is false advertising. 3 This is totally just misleading advertising. 4 5 So, again, whether you're looking at a food 6 package or you're on Mr. Google looking for information, we got to take the time -- we got to pause 7 every once in awhile -- I'm included -- we got to pause every once in awhile and say, "All right. What does 9 this mean? Is this fact? Is this fiction? 10 Is it opinion?" 11 Now, we get to number one. I hope I brought 12 your number from 96 people removed to a farmer to one. 13 So the next time you have a question about pig farming, 14 you can ask a pig farmer. Or in my case, a pig vet. 15 Thank you very much. 16 MS. BAKER: My name for the record is Gayle 17 18 Baker, G-a-y-l-e B-a-k-e-r. As Dr. Rippel stated, I am the engineer that 19 20 will be working with Dr. Rippel to provide construction plans and present on the eight siting criteria of the 21 Buffalo Run farm this evening. 22 23 I'm a professional engineer. I work for

24 Maurer-Stutz in Peoria, Illinois. I've been an

engineer for near nine years in July, and a professional engineer for five.

Tonight's presentation regarding Buffalo Run farm deals with the waste storage facilities listed on the notice of intent to construct, which includes the gilt development deep pit building, the gilt gestation deep pit building, the farrowing shallow pit building, the gestation deep pit building, which there are two of those.

Tonight, my presentation will follow directly the siting criteria listed in the Livestock Management Facilities Act. We'll cover the registration and certification requirements; the design, location, and operation standards of the farm; the location, compatibility with the area; the flood plain and aquifer protection; how the farm will minimize its environmental impact; its odor control and reduction practices; how the traffic patterns will minimize impact on the local area; and if the farm is consistent with the area development.

Under criteria no. 1, registration requirements. We submitted the initial notice of intent to construct to the Illinois Department of Agriculture on April 24th of 2019.

On May 6th of 2019, NOITC was deemed completed by the Illinois Department of Ag, and we then submitted the complete NOITC copy in certified mail to property owners located within the populated area setback.

The residential setback is 1,760 for the number of animals that will be at this farm. No residences were located within this setback, and it was exceeded by 1,140 feet to the northeast.

The populated area setback was 3,520 for this size farm. No populated areas or nonfarm businesses or common places of assembly were located within this setback. In fact, the setback was exceeded by 19,000 feet.

Also, under criteria one, is the nutrient management plan. The nutrient management -- the farm is currently still developing its nutrient management plan. This plan will meet the requirements of the Illinois Department of Agriculture and the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. The nutrient management plan will be kept on site with all applicable records.

This plan will include the total annual manure volume calculations, the targeted crop yields in the application areas, manure analysis to provide the

nutrient content of the manure for our calculations, which will provide the agronomic loading and application rates that will be applied to the nearby fields.

This plan will also include land application provisions that include the setbacks and also areas that will require injections or incorporation. This plan also will include documentation records, which includes records of livestock nutrient application.

Specifically, this plan will have more than 1,800 acres, and this amount of acreage has been lined up with nearby land owners in preparation of preparing this plan. These acres required are based on the amount of manure produced, the nutrient value of the crop fertility need, and the nutrient value of manure.

This is a constantly changing calculation based on yearly production, the crops the manure will be applied to, and the manure nutrient content. This plan will be in place at the farm 60 days after the beginning of the operation per the Livestock Management Facilities Act, and this plan and associated records will be available for farm inspections.

Under criteria no. 2, environmental protection, we'll start with a structure designed for farming.

These plans are currently under development and will be submitted to the Illinois Department of Agriculture for review. The design will be completed by a licensed professional engineering and a licensed structural engineer.

The design is based on the Livestock Management Facilities Act. ASABE standards, which stands for the American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers and Midwest society, and Midwest Plan Service 36.

In preparation for developing these plans, a site investigation, under the direction of a licensed, professional engineer pursuant to the LMFA regulations, was completed. Our design plans will include details for a reinforced concrete pit, which will include steel according to the Midwest Plan Service 36 and ACI-318, which is the building code requirements for reinforced concrete.

Per the Livestock Management Facilities Act, a liquid storage structure is required to have 150 days or five months of storage. This farm will provide more than 12 months of storage for the manure. Our design will detail out how joints and penetrations in this pit will have waterstops to prevent leaks or seepage of the

liquid. These structures will be watertight containments. The pit walls will be designed with appropriate concrete footings based on the site's soil investigation.

On this sheet, I have shown a couple of example details for what our plans will look like. The big thing that we do on these plans is detail out how we want our concrete to crack. Concrete will crack, but we provide a place for that concrete to crack over top of where we have a vinyl waterstop. This waterstop creates a watertight pit. It is a containment structure at that point.

Additionally, on the left you can see our reinforcement detail. The walls are designed to withstand soil pressure, pressure from the manure in the pit, as well as temperature and shrinkage and other requirements from the ACI code.

On the screen now you can see some examples of the PVC waterstop placed for the waterstop control and construction joint in the slab. The PVC base seal, as we call it, is placed on the subgrade. Reinforcement that crosses over the base seal is interrupted. This base seal causes the place in that concrete where the concrete is thinner, and will crack along that seal.

Interrupting that reinforcement further allows that concrete to crack along the seal, and will have joints that allow that concrete to sink and swell as temperatures occur. These waterstop seals are designed for containment structures to be a watertight structure.

Additionally, you can see here the grid that will be laid out in the subgrade and the placement of the concrete over the seals. We want those cracks to continue up our walls, so we placed waterstops connected to the base heel up the wall, and this allows that crack from the slab to follow the joint up the wall. Again, we interrupt this rebar, so that way the walls can slide back and forth. These PVC water stops have an amount that they're able to move. This maintains a watertight structure.

Do to these design requirements provide a manure storage structure that does not leak? Yes.

Also under the criteria two of the environmental protection, this farm will not be located in a 100 year floodplain, and it will not be located in a Karst Region as identified by IMAP 8 by ISGS.

Additionally, this farm is not located in a sink hole

24 | area, also indicated on IMAP 8.

Duration our site soils investigation, we did identify sand material more than two feet thick found in limited borings to a depth of five feet below the lowest point of the planned bottom of some of our 10 foot waste storage structures.

Because of this, our design will need to meet Section 506.310 of the LMFA, which states that waste storage structures constructed of concrete will extend below the maximum frost depth, which will occur on our 10 feet deep structures, and we will meet that requirement.

Additionally in our site soils investigation, we identified a Seasonal High Water Table, or an SWHT. This was found based on soil mottling and delayed water readings taken at the site. A perimeter drainage system will be utilized -- or basement drain -- around the base of the structure to control the Seasonal High Water Table, as the pits are not designed to resist buoyancy.

We will have a monitoring port for each waste storage structure, which will be sampled quarterly per the Illinois Administrative Code 900.511. These results will be run through a lab and sent to the Illinois Department of Agriculture.

On the left, you'll see an example of this

perimeter drain running around the footing of our

storage structure; and on the left, an example of a

monitoring port, which also includes a shut off valve.

If there were ever high readings from the lab, this

could be turned off, the pit emptied, and an

investigation completed to determine what the cause

was.

Will the design, location, and proposed operation be consistent with the guidelines outlined in the LMFA? Yes.

Under criteria no. 3, location compatibility, this farm will be located on land zoned as agricultural. The farm is compatible with the surrounding area's character. There's history of swine production near the location, with farms -- swine farms located within five miles of the farm. The site is surrounded by corn and soybean fields. We've exceeded the LMFA setback requirements for residences, populated areas, and wells, and the NOITC was deemed complete by the Illinois Department of Agriculture.

If we take a step back outside of the LMFA setbacks to a mile radius around the facility, there are five residences located within one mile of

the farm. This is not a populated area where this farm will be constructed.

Under criteria no. 4, environmental sensitivity, this farm is not located within an 100 year floodplain, and the farm is not located in a Karst area.

To further meet this criteria no. 4 for environmental sensitivity, I will refer back to our soil investigation to do a subsurface investigation of the site. 20 soil borings were done throughout the site, and we extended these borings more than five feet below the planned bottom of each structure.

From this information, additional design criteria will be followed due to the sand/aquifer layer that was identified. Meaning, that the footings of the concrete storage structures will extend below the maximum frost depth per the Livestock Management Facilities Act.

Additionally, under criteria No. 4, service water will be diverted away from and around these structures to help prevent water from stacking up around these structures and causing undo pressure around the structures. This will be done using pipes and swales, and water will be held in detention basins

and slowly released to not increase the flow from this site that is currently present.

This is a zero discharge farm. Manure will not leave this farm from the pits, because construction standards are consistent with the goals of protecting the safety of the area and waterstops and design standards are utilized. In addition, the farm has followed setbacks from wells and surface water.

Under criteria No. 5, operation plan for the farm, farm management -- and this was discussed a little bit -- that animals are housed on top of concrete precast slabs. Manure is stored below the concrete slatted floor. Concrete pit structures are manure containments. Manure is removed from the structure yearly for land application.

The structures will have 365 days of storage versus the LMFA required 150 days of storage. This provides the farm the opportunity to wait to apply -- land apply during optimum conditions, which also provides reduction in leeching and runoff potential.

This farm will also be operated by a Certified Livestock Manager, or a CLM, which is administered by the Illinois Department of Agriculture.

Under operation plan for land application,

subsurface injection or incorporation of all manure will be employed, which reduces the likelihood of spills and runoff potential. Manure will be applied at agronomic rates, according to the nutrient management plan and regulations. Manure will be applied only when appropriate soil conditions exist, nutrient levels dictate that application is appropriate, and in a way to reduce runoff potential and nutrient leaching.

Under criteria 5, the farm's plans for operation with best management practices will minimize the likelihood of environmental damage to the area from spills, leaching, and runoff.

Under criteria no. 6, odor control, animals are housed on slotted floors and slats to stay cleaner, thus reducing odor. These precast concrete slabs and easily cleaned, and as Dr. Rippel talked about, they're cleaned between each production cycle with pressure washing.

Additionally, under odor control, all manure from this farm will be injected or incorporated to control the odor during this time period.

Finally, the location complies with and exceeds setback distances as established in the LMFA. These distances have been exceeded with the residential

exceeded by 1,140 feet, and the populated area exceeded by over 19,00 feet, which is a little over four miles.

This farm will be utilizing a tree buffer around the farm to also help dissipate and control odor.

Finally, best management practices will be used at the farm by performing regular ventilation and regular maintenance on the ventilation system, which will keep the fans operating efficiently and reduce the amount of dust build up on these fans.

Under criteria no. 7, traffic patterns, the existing traffic use on area roads, as summarized up here, was gathered from the Illinois Department of Transportation from a 2008 survey. 1400 North will be the main road used to get to the farm, which currently sees around 25 vehicles a day, which goes to 250 East or LaPrairie Road, which sees around 400 vehicles per day.

The planned traffic route to the farm will evolve taking 1400 North about three quarters of a mile to LaPrairie Road, which north goes to Illinois Route 34 and south goes to Illinois Route 17.

The farm will add about 35 vehicles per day for passengers vehicles, which would be farm workers coming

to work and going from work, and will see an additional

10 trucks per week, which will include livestock and

feed trucks. Buffalo Run traffic will comply with the

same seasonal posted road limits as all other traffic

in the area.

Under criteria no. 8, last but not least, is community benefits. The farm will provide economic benefit to local businesses. It will be purchasing feed from a local source. The concrete and building supplies will be purchased locally. The farm will employ 25 people and local residents are very much encouraged to apply. Neighboring farms will benefit from manure as a natural source of fertilizer.

Also, as Dr. Rippel touched on, is the tax benefits for the community. We've summarized these from the Marshall County parcel district property tax information, and we estimated about 190,000 in property taxes annually will be generated from the Buffalo Run farm.

To summarize criteria no. 8, Buffalo Run will be located in a rural area and will benefit the community by adding jobs, tax income, and value to corn and soybeans produced in the area.

This summarizes the facility's testimony on the

siting criteria, and I will enter my written testimony and presentation into the record.

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you very much.

Entered into the record as Exhibit No. 3 is the presentation from the facility's representatives.

We will now open the meeting for any questions that you may have for the facility or the Department. If you have a question you would like to ask, please raise your hand, and when called upon please state your name and spell your last name for the reporter. Please indicate to whom you're directing your question.

I will remind you that this portion of the meeting will be limited to questions only. After this question and answer session, there will be a session dedicated to public testimony where you at that time can provide your oral comments. So please limit this session to questions only for the Department or the facility's representatives.

Also, please keep in mind that questions need to pertain specifically to this particular facility.

At this time are there any questions?

Yes. There's a hand in the back.

MS. BLUMENSHINE: Thank you very much.

My name is Joyce, J-o-y-c-e, Blumenshine,

B-l-u-m-e-n-s-h-i-n-e. I have a question for Ms. Baker please.

You indicated the borings have found sand areas below the facility. Sometimes by common name means sand lenses, for those of us who are not scientific, and I am not. Sand lenses are known to transmit pollution much more rapidly and in many undetected or unexpected ways because, of course, it's sand. Polluted water travels through sand maybe in a range of ways.

So what extra assessments will you be doing regarding underground groundwater flow? If there were contamination reaching these sand lenses, you talked about the safety of the facility, but what remediation can be done if there is any leakage into the sand lenses? Thank you.

MS. BAKER: As discussed in our subsurface soil investigation, we also determined that there was a Seasonal High Water Table, which will require a perimeter drainage system. This is going to be our first line of defense if there was ever a issue with the structure. We'll be monitoring that regularly, so we will be able to tell if there was an issue with the structure. That structure would be emptied, and an

investigation completed.

MR. GENTERT: I've got a question pertaining to that. My name is Charles Gentert, G-e-n-t-e-r-t. Same question as far as the soil. Who -- how many of these companies -- seems like there's only one geologist that does the soil samples. Seems like they always get the same one. That seems to me to throw up a red flag, you know.

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Do you have a question, sir, for the facility?

MR. GENTERT: yeah. Why is it always the same geologist company out of Bloomington that gets the same one? And I want to say -- I want it on the record -- as long as Dr. Rippel referred to Sandy Creek that so -- how good it is, we work bring up the bad points of living next to one. I better not be cut off because I want that on the record, because then you guys -- the Department of Ag is supposed to be very neutral, not one sided.

I'd like to know who they got for the soil borings and -- the Department of Ag -- and how many companies in the state of Illinois do these soil borings or if it's always out of Bloomington.

MS. BAKER: Well, I can't speak to what all

farms use in Illinois. Our farms particularly use an
entity out of Champaign, and it was the done under my
direction as a professional engineer. So if that
answers your question, and if that pertains to the
siting criteria under environmental protection, it was
performed under my direction as a professional
engineer.

MR. GENTERT: Because the same issue -- seems like every table here that goes out is flood out. And the issues never address, you know, because that one there next to Big Sandy Creek and that feeds into the Illinois River for all of these communities --

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Okay. I'm going to give the Department the opportunity to answer your -- the other question you had.

MR. GOETSCH: I think that there are probably four or five engineering firms that do the majority of the livestock projects that we see, and it's probably because they are very well versed. I think if you would probably look at the number of architects that do schools in down state Illinois, there's probably a dozen or less of those because they specialize.

In terms of borings, I would suggest that there's a number of companies that are utilized by

```
engineering firms to conduct those soil borings, and
1
 2
    there are a number of geologists that are utilized.
            So I don't agree with you when you say it's the
 3
               There's numerous specialists or numerous
 4
    same one.
5
    consultants that work on these proposals.
6
            MR. GENTERT: Weren't these issues brought
 7
    out --
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: If you have another
    question, could you please stand up just so that the
9
10
    court reporter can hear you.
11
            MR. GENTERT: Charlie Gentert, G-e-n-t-e-r-t.
    Aren't these the same issues that were brought out at
12
    the one in Evans Township, and were they addressed by a
13
    geologist. They were brought out in Marshall County
14
    about issues the way these soil borings were done.
15
    Were they addressed?
16
17
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Is this question for
18
    the Department?
19
            MR. GENTERT: Department of Ag.
20
            MR. GOETSCH:
                          I'm sorry. I have no idea what
    you just asked. I'm sorry. I just didn't understand
21
    your question. Could you rephrase it?
22
                          I mean, yeah. These issues were
23
            MR. GENTERT:
```

brought out in Wenona, Illinois, the way the Department

of Ag does these soil boring by geologists --1 MS. BAKER: 2 I can step in here for a second. Ι believe I understand what you're asking. 3 These borings were completed to all of the 4 5 requirements in the Livestock Management Facilities 6 Act. Our site soil investigation will be submitted to the Illinois Department of Agriculture, and they will make sure that it has followed the LMFA. My name is Jeffrey Lape. Last name MR. LAPE: 9 is spelled L-a-p-e. I live one mile north of your 10 11 proposed facility. I want to know how deep your soil borings were, 12 and if you hit water in any of those soil borings. 13 reason I say this, I have two wells. My drilled well 14 has water at 12 feet always. I have a dug well next to 15 my house with water at 6 feet always. 16 17 MS. BAKER: As I outlined in my presentation, 18 our borings were all extended more than five feet below the structures, which is the requirement of the 19 20 Livestock Management Facilities Act. As I also identified in my presentation, we did 21 find a Seasonal High Water Table, or a SHWT, which 22

24 MR. LAPE: You deflected. My question was:

we'll be controlling with a perimeter drainage system.

```
How deep were your soil samples? Did you hit water in
1
 2
    any of those?
                        Yes. We wit water. We hit a
 3
            MS. BAKER:
    Seasonal High Water Table.
                                We had more than 20
 4
5
    borings, and that will be summarized in our site soils
6
    investigation to the Department.
            MR. LAPE:
                      So you don't know what depth?
            MS. BAKER: We had varying depths for all of
    them, and they all exceeded the five foot requirement
9
    of the Livestock Management Facilities Act.
10
11
            MR. LAPE: Pick one whole. What was the depth?
                        I'll summarize that in my site
12
            MS. BAKER:
    soils investigation. I'm not able to tell you that off
13
    the top of my head.
14
15
            MR. LAPE: Okay.
            MR. TEEFEY: Question in the Isle.
16
            MS. SALISBURY: My name is Cindy Salisbury, and
17
18
    I live at --
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
19
                                     Excuse me. Could you
20
    speak a little louder and just spell your last name
    please.
21
            MS. SALISBURY: Sorry. Cindy Salisbury,
22
23
    S-a-l-i-s-b-u-r-y.
```

Thank you.

24

Mr. TEEFEY:

MS. SALISBURY: And I live in Saratoga Township.

What I'd like to know is: You said that you hold -- you need 1800 acres to spread the manure on, and you have 12 months of storage. How many times a year is that going to be removed from the pits for the farmers that you are going to, I assume, sell the manure to to put into their fields, and how close to the residents and the community of Camp Grove and others is this going to be done? We will be affected by the smell. The smell that you're talking about from your facility is totally different from the smell when my husband and I raised livestock, hogs, swine, in our lifetime.

So I would just like to know how often and how close to people and whose fields is this going to be put into?

THE DEFENDANT: I'll start with speaking to the frequency that the manure will be removed from the pits, as summarized in my slides. This site will have 365 -- more than 365 days of storage in yearly application.

MS. SALISBURY: How often in that year? Once a year?

MS. BAKER: Once a year.

MS. SALISBURY: So you're talking about 12 months of storage of 1,800 acres of ground; is that what you're saying? So you have 1,800 acres of ground to spread this manure off of 40,000 pigs plus -- through the year on 1,800 acres of ground. How is that going to affect, smell wise, the community around you?

MR. RIPPEL: So we will incorporate manure into the surrounding crop line actually twice a year, in the spring and fall, and we'll use it similar to that video I demonstrated in my presentation. We'll incorporate it into the ground, so that reduces the odor and any chances for a runoff.

As far as -- we will observe all the setbacks from residences during manure application, and then being as far as -- within two miles -- within a mile of Camp Grove there's a similar type of swine facility that would incorporate the same manure management plan.

MS. SALISBURY: Yes. I'm aware. They're my neighbors. It's not near -- and it's not that often. We know the smell, but it's not like the smell you're talking about of a year's worth of 1,800 acres and all in the time span that you said.

MR. RIPPEL: Have you been by Sandy Creek Lane

and experienced that?

MS. SALISBURY: No. But I'm not Sandy Creek;
I'm talking about my neighbor in Saratoga.

MR. RIPPEL: But this is a different facility.

MS. SALISBURY: This is our neighbor that lives just around the corner from us.

The other question I have is: You said that in taxes to road and bridges of Saratoga Township, that your company will give \$10,000 in new taxes.

The 1400 North Road that runs in front of the facility that you want to put up is not prepared and not strong enough to support the tonnage of the trucks that are going to be driving on that road, and it's going to cost a lot of money to build that road up.

Who is going to pay for that? Is your company going to pay for that, or are tax payers in Saratoga Township, with it coming out of road and bridge fund to pay for it? Who's going to cover that large expense at the cost of our roads and our community and our area that need work, that need a lot of assistance and need bridges done and the cost it's going to take to build up the road that you need access to your facility from? Who's going to the cover that cost?

MR. RIPPEL: So as you pointed out, we will

```
contribute through our property taxes $10,000 to the
1
    Saratoga Township roads and bridges. We will run the
 2
    roads at posted legal limits year around.
 3
            MS. SALISBURY: But the road isn't road ready
 4
5
    for the amount of truck that's going to be going to
6
    your facility --
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Again, we will have a
    separate time if anyone wants to give testimony.
            MS. SALISBURY: I do have a question. I'm just
9
    trying to say the roads need to be built up to handle
10
11
    the weight of the trucks that are going to be going
    down --
12
            MR. RIPPEL: We will not exceed the weigh
13
    limits of the road, ma'am.
14
            MS. SALISBURY: That didn't answer my question.
15
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Another question here.
16
17
            MR. LAPE: My name is Jeffrey Lape, L-a-p-e.
18
    My question is for the Illinois Department of
    Agriculture.
19
20
            Facilities of this nature, I assume, are
    monitored by the Department of Agriculture.
21
                                                  How many
    feces spills have been reported in the last year or the
22
23
    last five years?
            MR. GOETSCH:
```

The Livestock Management

Facilities Act requires the reporting of manure releases. Those reports are to go to the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, and they are the agency that are charged to investigate releases or spills. We do, from time to time, conduct joint inspections or joint site visits with IDEPA.

I would suggest that -- I don't have the number I guess. To answer your question, I don't have the number off the top of my head.

MR. LAPE: I'd be fine with just your experience, what you think, or your perception.

MR. GOETSCH: Well, over the years the number of releases have been greater with very, very small facilities, as compared to a larger facility like this. The reason being because they have more dedicated people at the site whose only job is to manage the site. Whereas a smaller facility, the producer is probably involved in crop production and involved in other activities. I will hasten to say though if there is a release from a large facility, that release is usually larger.

Off the top of my head, I don't believe that there's more -- there's been more than a dozen releases in the last few years, especially -- large facilities

don't tend to have releases. 1 2 MR. LAPE: Thank you. HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Yes, sir. 3 MR. PYLES: My name is Dennis Pyles, P-y-l-e-s. 4 I'm the road commissioner of Saratoga Township. 5 6 question is for Nicolas. Would you care, Nicolas, to share with this 7 group of people the last offer that you made me on a road agreement? 9 MR. RIPPEL: Yeah. Glad you could make it, 10 Dennis. 11 Me and Dennis met a couple different times to 12 discuss the road use, and my goal with Dennis was to 13 establish a road agreement similar to what I have with 14 John Lauf in Evans Township in northeast Marshall 15 County. If you ask John, that agreement has just been 16 fantastic for the township and for him. We've had zero 17 18 problems with the roads. With that said, there has been no upgrades to 19 20 the road in Evans Township. So I offered the same road agreement --21 MR. PYLES: No, you haven't. 22 MR. RIPPEL: -- and before I could even finish 23 describing that road agreement, Dennis refused that 24

```
road agreement. That road agreement was primarily just
1
    to navigate the 90 day posting period just to make it
 2
    more convenient for the farm.
 3
            As it's left right now, we are unable to reach
 4
5
    a road agreement like we did in Evans Township, and so,
6
    like I stated before, we will run the roads at the
    posted limits.
            MR. PYLES: Okay. You called me on the
    phone --
9
                                     Do you have a
10
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
11
    question, sir?
                        I have to make a statement.
12
            MR. PYLES:
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Well, I apologize, but
13
    this is not the time to make a statement. If you want
14
    to give your oral testimony later regarding what you
15
    want to say, that's fine; but right now it's just
16
    strictly a question and answer session for the
17
18
    Department and the facility.
            MR. PYLES: Well, I'm only here for the road
19
20
    district. That's all I'm here for. That's who I
21
    represent.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Okay. So if you want
22
23
    to provide some sort of oral testimony on behalf of who
```

you represent at the -- at that portion of this

```
meeting, then you are more than allowed to.
1
 2
            MR. PYLES: Well, I would like for Mr. Rippel
    to tell everyone here that he offered me $2,000 a year
 3
    for 10 years for road care.
 4
5
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Are there any other
6
    questions at this time?
            Yes, sir, in the back.
            MR. STEIL: My name is Greg Steil, G-r-e-g
    S-t-e-i-l. I live in Marshall County. My question is
9
    for Mr. Rippel.
10
            I notice whenever facilities like this start
11
    getting described, you couch them in terms of "animal
12
    units," which to me is all just smoke and mirrors --
13
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Again, if we could
14
    just -- just as a reminder, you will have all the
15
    opportunity in the world to give your oral testimony
16
    later, but if we could just narrow this to questions
17
18
    for the facility and the Department.
            MR. STEIL: Mr. Rippel, many actual head of
19
20
    pigs are going to be in those buildings at full
    operation? Not animal units; how many head?
21
            MR. RIPPEL: So just to be clear, I have
22
23
    nothing to do with the animal units. That is governed
```

by the Illinois Department of Ag, but we will have

```
7,000 sows and then approximately 3,000 replacements
1
 2
    gilts to replace those sows as they leave the farm.
                        And the piglets?
 3
            MR. STEIL:
            MR. RIPPEL: And the baby piglets that leave
 4
5
    the farm every week.
6
            MR. STEIL: Will come to what number please?
 7
            MR. RIPPEL: 13,000.
            MR. STEIL:
                        That's not what I heard.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Are there any other
9
10
    questions?
11
            MR. LAPE: Jeffrey Lape again.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
                                     Yes, sir.
12
            MR. LAPE: General question. In the last five
13
    years, has the Illinois Department of Agriculture
14
    denied someone's permit for a hog facility?
15
                          I get this question at every one
16
            MR. GOETSCH:
    of these hearings, and you're not going to like the
17
18
    answer, but I'm going to give it to you anyways.
            The way the Livestock Management Facilities Act
19
20
    is written, we don't deny a facility -- or deny a
    application. We just don't ever approve an application
21
    if it doesn't meet the requirements.
22
23
            If you go back over the years, the 23 years
    that the LMFA has been in place, I believe that
24
```

approximately -- and I'd have to look up the numbers 1 2 here -- but I believe approximately around 72 to 75 percent of the applications have ultimately been 3 approved, and the remainder have not been approved. 4 5 So, you know, you can argue whether that's a denial or 6 not, but those other projects were not able to meet the 7 requirements of the statute, and therefore they were not approved. Thank you. 9 MR. LAPE: HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Ouestion for the --10 11 I'm sorry. Question for the gentlemen in the middle. MR. SALISBURY: My name is Charles Salisbury, 12 S-a-l-i-s-b-u-r-y. I'd like to have a straight answer 13 to anything that's been asked here tonight, but there 14 hasn't been one. 15 There's neighbors here -- and I don't care how 16 far away they are, but the one's not very far -- I want 17 18 to know how they're going to be compensated for the devaluation of their houses and their property from 19 20 your factory. So using Sandy Creek Lane in 21 MR. RIPPEL: example, there has been -- for the last two years there 22 23 has been tremendous success at Sandy Creek Lane, and

we've had zero property value loss in the area.

```
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Come on. Don't give me that.
1
 2
            AUDIENCE MEMBER: Oh, come on. Seriously?
 3
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Are there any
    questions?
 4
 5
            MR. LAPE:
                       Yeah. How do you base your answer
6
    to that question that there's been zero loss of
    property value.
8
            MR. RIPPEL: You get on the county's site
    website or assessment page.
9
10
            MR. LAPE:
                       That --
11
            MR. RIPPEL:
                         And then current sales of two
    properties that are within a mile and three quarters of
12
    a mile away from Sandy Creek, they went above and
13
    beyond the average sale for a house in the area.
14
                              It's not an average house.
15
            AUDIENCE MEMBER:
                       I have another question for the
16
            MR. LAPE:
    Department of Agriculture.
17
18
            Is it the Department of Agriculture's concern
    or whatever that our land is zoned agricultural.
19
20
    Although you're raising pigs, you're not doing it
    agriculturally. That's a factory. That's industrial.
21
    Okay? That's huge. Does that -- does the zoning play
22
23
    into your decision?
24
            MR. GOETSCH:
                          I would say to a certain agree,
```

```
yes. One of the siting criteria is whether the land
1
 2
    use that's being proposed is consistent with the land
    use in the area and any zoning that's in the area.
 3
            I also believe that the Illinois Supreme Court
 4
 5
    has held -- or has defined what agriculture is and the
6
    production of livestock continues to be included in the
    definition of agriculture.
            So there is no differentiation that I'm aware
    of for what is being proposed here versus raising
9
    animals in A-frame buildings and out in a dirt lot.
10
    It's livestock production, and it is consistent with
11
    agriculture zoning or areas zoned for agriculture use.
12
            MR. LAPE:
                              Thank you.
13
                      Okay.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Question for the
14
    gentlemen in the green shirt in the back.
15
            MR. STEIL: My name is Greg Steil, G-r-e-g
16
    S-t-e-i-l. My question is more Mr. Rippel.
17
18
            Can you please describe for me, in the best
19
    terms that you can come up, these average houses that
2.0
    sold within a mile and a half of a facility that I'm
    not allowed to mention.
21
```

MR. STEIL: I want you to please describe the

22

23

houses?

MR. RIPPEL: Well -- I'm sorry. Describe the

```
houses. You described them as average. Now, do you
1
 2
    know which houses they were? Who lived there?
    do -- did.
            Could you please describe them to the best of
 4
5
    your ability as to what you call an average house?
            MR. RIPPEL: So the one a mile north is like
6
    a -- I don't have the date or the information in front
 7
            It was like a 1920s original farmhouse that
    sold for like $335,000, something along those lines.
9
               MR. STEIL: Okay. Could you describe what
10
    this 1920s farmhouse looked like when it was sold?
11
               MR. RIPPEL:
                            It's got grey siding. It's got
12
    a three-car garage, detached. It's got a fence around
13
14
    it.
            MR. STEIL: What did that house look like
15
    before the hog farm? And the other house. Please
16
    describe the other house. I'm very familiar with that
17
18
    facility as well. What was it like?
19
            MR. RIPPEL: I'm sorry. Can you say that
20
    again?
            MR. STEIL: Could you please describe the other
21
    house within the range of the Sandy Creek Lane? What
22
23
    was that house like? What was that property like?
24
                         It's in a wooded area to the south
            MR. RIPPEL:
```

```
of Sandy Creek Lane.
1
            MR. STEIL:
 2
                        That's the best you can do?
 3
            MR. RIPPEL: I -- I guess I haven't lately been
    up to his front door.
 4
5
            MR. STEIL: Well, you ain't telling me nothing
6
    new.
            MR. TEEFEY: Question for the lady in the
 7
    middle.
            MS. SALISBURY: I am Cindy Salisbury,
9
    S-a-l-i-s-b-u-r-y, and I'm from Saratoga Township.
10
11
            You said that you pay $40,000 to the employees
    who work there. How many of the employees of Sandy
12
    Creek right now are from the local area and the
13
    community that actually work in your facility? What is
14
    the percentage of the people who actually work there
15
    that are local?
16
            MR. RIPPEL: Virtually I guess -- I would say
17
    90 to 99 percent either live in the Wenona -- in Wenona
18
    itself, which is in Marshall County, or the surrounding
19
20
    area.
            MS. SALISBURY: Are there originally from the
21
    area or did they come and move into the area to work
22
23
    there from other areas, other states and other parts?
            MR. RIPPEL: A combination of both.
24
                                                  We had
```

```
people move from Peoria to Wenona and other places.
1
 2
            MR. SALISBURY: But you don't know what the
    percentage of local people who've lived here work
 3
    there?
 4
 5
            MR. RIPPEL: I guess I'm not sure what the
6
    difference -- what's the difference of local, what
    makes them local?
            MS. SALISBURY: People who lived there all
    their lives who were against it --
9
                         I guess I can't answer that
10
            MR. RIPPEL:
    question. I don't know about their whole lives.
11
            MS. SALISBURY: Okay.
12
            And this question is for the Department of
13
    Agriculture. The Department of Agriculture was started
14
    in 1819 by farmers who farmed the old way, the healthy
15
    way we consider in how they farmed their ground.
16
            The vision statement of the mission was, "To
17
18
    promote and regulate agriculture in a manner which
    encourages farming" -- not factories -- "and
19
20
    agribusiness, while protecting the Illinois consumers
    and national resources."
21
            How much of that vision and mission statement
22
23
    do you, the Department of Agriculture, take to heart
```

and really listen to the people here and the people in

the area who will be affected by this hog factory
whether by interfering with our natural resources, the
environment, our neighbor down the road, less than a
quarter of a mile, who told you the farm that she was
living on all of her life with her husband is going to
be altered and the traffic is going to be altered from
what she's used to, and her health and well being has
declined -- and others -- because of fighting this?
How much does the Department of Agriculture take that
to heart, and how much do you actually listen to us
regardless of all of the stuff they're showing you here
on the screen, regardless of the facts and figures?

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: I think we understand the question.

MS. SALISBURY: How much do you take to heart and really let your conscious be your guide in listening to us the people, and stop this type of greed and profit take away from our surroundings and where we're living right now, and what can you do, as the Department of Agriculture, to help them and their investors find some ground elsewhere away from the population, further than what they've shown that is state wise okay but not okay with us?

Can you do that also, find them some place else

where it won't affect the neighbor, the local
community, won't stir us up, won't affect our health
and welling, and can you find them some place else on a
back 40 somewhere where they won't both anyone, and we
wouldn't be sitting here having to deal with this? How
much of that do you take into consideration when you
make a decision?

MR. GOETSCH: Well, I guess, first of all I would say that, yes, we do have a vision statement and we have a mission statement.

But we also have a statute that we are required to administer, and we have the regulations that are adopted under that statute. Our job is to administer the law. We have a general assembly and we have a governor that passes the law, and then signs off on the laws. So our charge is to administer to the best of our ability what it says in this statute.

Now, to the extent that we can affect legislation, we can affect regulation, we do so to the best of our ability following that mission statement and that vision statement. But we still -- we have to stay within the confines -- we have to stay in that lane that's been defined by the general assembly and signed into law by the government, and we can't deviate

from that.

MS. SALISBURY: So what you're telling us today is that what we're saying and our concerns are falling on deaf ears because and it doesn't matter even though we're not taxpayers?

MR. GOETSCH: No. I'm not, ma'am. I'm not saying that. What I am saying is the statute lays out specifically what the department is supposed to do in considering whether or not a facility -- a proposed facility can be constructed.

It lays out a public informational meeting process. That's why we're here, to hear issues, to hear information. We can't make a decision -- no one can make a decision 50, 100, 150 miles away without going to the site without -- and not just seeing the site once. I was out there this afternoon and walked the site.

There are always other things that we may not be aware of. The county might have platted a new subdivision out there that weren't aware of. There might be some other issue that we're not aware of. This gives us some other opportunity to find that information out.

But ultimately, our decision has to be based on

- whether or not the applicant meets the requirements in the statute.
- MS. SALISBURY: So basically even if they meet
 all of the requirements, our requirements of not
 wanting it there, our asking it to be gone some place
 else away from us so it doesn't affect our neighbors
 and us in the community, you don't consider that if
 they meet all of the requirements?
- 9 MR. GOETSCH: If they meet all of the 10 requirements, they are approved.
- MS. SALISBURY: So us being --
- MR. GOETSCH: I don't know how much clearer I can say that, ma'am.
- MS. SALISBURY: If that's --

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- MR. GOETSCH: And you'll have an opportunity to testify in a few minutes, but the point is if they meet the requirements of the statute, they are going to be approved. If they don't meet the requirements of the statute, they will not.
- A perfect example of that is right now we have a facility that was approved over in Adams County, I believe. One of the requirements is they have to meet the design standards that are in the statute. They were given an approval; their construction plans and

specs were approved; we went out during the constructions; we found substandard construction.

They are having to tear out all of the concrete that was poured in that facility and repour it, rebuild it. It's costing this applicant millions of dollars to comply, but they will not be approved until they meet the requirements.

Now, I don't know how much clearer I can make it. I guess my point is: We -- there are standards in here, and we require them to meet those standards. If they can, they're approved; if they can't; they're never allowed to operate that facility.

MS. SALISBURY: I think the gentlemen in the hat in the back has had his hand up the longest.

MR. STEIL: I just have a couple of quick questions. Greg Steil.

At a previous hearing you had available a cordless microphone so the questioner could be a little bit better heard. I'm wondering if you have one of those now. If you do, I would be willing to take it around to people.

The second is: You two gentlemen representing the State, how would you feel if one of these wanted to be in your backyard? Do you have any gut feelings

about that.

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: I am the hearing office, so my gut feelings do not matter, but I will ask if Warren Goetsch has any.

MR. GOETSCH: Again, if this was -- if this was being proposed by near me, I probably would not -- or I would probably recuse myself because I would have a personal interest.

MR. STEIL: What about as a --

MR. GOETSCH: My job is not to either side with you or side against you. My role -- The Department's role is to ensure that we do not approve a facility proposal unless they meet the standards that are prescribed by the statute.

MR. STEIL: Please remove your position and your office from the equation and speak from your heart if you can.

MR. GOETSCH: Where I currently live now up, until about I guess 10 years ago, I could go to sleep every night with the windows open listening to the feeders bang on the swine farm just up the road.

Now, that facility is no longer there. That gentlemen went out of business. I don't think I can -- I didn't complain when I heard those feeders banging

```
all night in the summer, but my -- whether I believe or
1
 2
    whether I am for it or against it is immaterial.
 3
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: The gentlemen in the
    green shirt.
 4
5
            MR. CLANIN: Thank you. My name is Steve
6
    Clanin, C-l-a-n-i-n. My question is for Mr. Rippel.
            Do you deny that the Sandy Creek Lane farm has
 7
    had no negative impact on the neighbors?
            MR. RIPPEL: Sandy Creek has not affected the
9
    daily routines of the majority of the neighbors,
10
11
    correct.
            AUDIENCE MEMBER: That is not true.
12
            MR. CLANIN: That's absolutely not true. I
13
    know that is false.
14
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: All right. Do you
15
    have a follow-up question?
16
17
            MR. CLANIN: Can you guarantee that this won't
18
    affect these ladies here? Can you give them a
    guarantee that this won't affect their lives
19
20
    negatively?
            MR. RIPPEL: We meet the eight siting criteria
21
    of the LMFA.
22
23
            MR. CLANIN: We'll take that as a no.
```

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:

I'm not sure which had

```
their hand up first.
1
 2
            MS. GIFFIN: I have several questions.
                                                    The
    first one is for the young lady.
 3
            You said that if there was --
 4
5
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: I'm sorry. Did you
6
    say your name?
7
            MS. GIFFIN: I'm sorry. Ruth Ann Giffin,
    G-i-f-f-i-n.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you.
9
            MS. GIFFIN: You said that if it leaks, you'll
10
11
    pump it out. Where are you going to pump it out to?
            MS. BAKER: Manure would be removed as it would
12
    be for land application. We'll pump out the pits, and
13
    then apply it to the fields.
14
            MS. GIFFIN: What if the fields are full of
15
16
    crops?
17
            MS. BAKER: There would have to be an emergency
18
    situation where crops could be removed, so manure could
    be applied earlier.
19
20
            MS. GIFFIN: We don't remove crops like that.
            For Mr. Rippel, you have said that this manure
21
    is organic. If you have fed the pigs any antibiotics,
22
23
    can you still call it organic?
            MR. RIPPEL: So, first, I said it was a natural
24
```

```
fertilizer. I did not say it was organic fertilizer.
1
 2
            MS. GIFFIN: I just read in the paper something
    about it being organic.
 3
            MR. RIPPEL: Okay. As far as antibiotics go,
 4
5
    in the last two years of the operation at Sandy Creek
6
    Lane, we have fed zero grams of antibiotics.
            MS. GIFFIN: Not even in the feed?
            MR. RIPPEL: Nothing in the feed.
            MS. GIFFIN: How about hormones?
9
            MR. RIPPEL: Did you see my presentation?
10
11
    There are no hormones that we can feed the pigs.
            MS. GIFFIN: Have you given them any hormones?
12
            MR. RIPPEL: We do not feed hormones to pigs,
13
         It's illegal to feed hormones to pigs.
14
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: All right. Back there
15
    in the black shirt.
16
            MS. HUDSON: My name is Karen Hudson, and this
17
18
    for Warren Goetsch.
            I have a question about the disconnect
19
    between -- I have a question about the disconnect
20
    between the Environmental Protection Agency and the
21
    Illinois Department of Agriculture for you.
22
23
            IEPA recently told people in the last month
```

that most complaints come from emotional woman.

- were five people that witnessed that. They also said 1 2 not to believe anything that the Illinois Department of Agriculture said. He doesn't like to talk about his 3 sister agency. I was wondering if you realized about 4 5 the huge, severe disconnect between the Illinois 6 Environmental Protection Agency and your office. 7 MR. GOETSCH: My only response is that I believe that we work quite well with the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, and I think we've over 9 the years have developed a very good working 10 relationship, and I think we continue to work very well 11 together. 12 MS. HUDSON: Do you realize that the two people 13 you mentioned in Adams County are operating in 14 violation of the storm water construction permit as we 15 16 speak? MR. GOETSCH: I do not have any specific 17 18 knowledge of their current compliance with anything other than what we administer. The Department of 19 20 Agriculture administers the majority of the Livestock Management Facilities Act, and that's why I mentioned 21
 - If they have to have a NPDS permit through IEPA, that would be IEPA's responsibility to

what I mentioned.

22

23

```
administer, and I'm the sure they're doing a very good
1
 2
    job of it.
            MS. HUDSON: Thank you, Warren. I think you
 3
    need to connect with IEPA.
 4
5
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: I would just like to
6
    point out too that the purpose of this informational
 7
    meeting is to discuss this particular facility, so any
    complaint or animosity you have against the state of
    the Department, specifically we're open 8 to 5 every
9
    day. You can call us about other concerns, but right
10
11
    now we're here to discus this particular facility.
            Up there in the back with his hand up.
12
            MR. GENTERT: Okay. I got your comment.
13
    can refer to sandy creek, and us neighbors living by it
14
    cannot report about our hardships of it? That's
15
    prejudice. My name's G-e-n-t-e-r-t. That's one sided.
16
17
    You guys are supposed to be neutral. Not one sided.
18
    You're hearing officers.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Is that a question for
19
20
    me?
            MR. GENTERT: Why can't we refer to what it's
21
    like living next to Sandy Creek?
22
23
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Yeah. I actually did
```

24

not say that.

```
MR. GENTERT: You never cut off Rippel.
1
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Well, I can answer
 2
    this question real fast, if you want me to. I didn't
 3
    say that you couldn't bring up Sandy Creek or your
 4
5
    experiences with that facility.
6
            What I was specifically directing my last
    statement to was animosity and complaints that
 7
    individuals bring up against the Department or the
    State in general. So I --
9
            MR. GENTERT: I've called them a million times,
10
11
    and most of the time you don't call back.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: You've never called
12
    me, and I would call you back.
13
14
            MR. GENTERT: I called down there --
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Well, I can't answer
15
    or speak to who you called.
16
17
            MR. GENTERT: I got a couple questions for
18
    Mr. Rippel.
            What is your turnover ratio of employees at
19
20
    Sandy Creek?
            And the second question is: Do you hire green
21
    card people, VMC Management?
22
23
            MR. RIPPEL: So I can't speak to a specific
    number or percentage of turnover. I can tell you when
24
```

we started two years ago at Sandy Creek, we had a fair 1 number of employees come through because we were trying 2 to train them and in the area there wasn't much 3 experience. So people would come and learn that they 4 5 didn't really like to work with pigs because they had 6 never been exposed to pigs before. Today, as I said today, we have a very solid and a very strong workforce at Sandy Creek. MR. GENTERT: The question was: Do you hire 9 green card people and what's the turnover ratio? 10 11 You're managing a facility, you should know your turnover ratio. The question was green card people and 12 13 turnover ratio. 14 MR. RIPPEL: I'm not sure what you mean by green card other than that every employee at 15 VMC Management is verified before they can -- before 16 17 there are able to work for us. MR. GENTERT: So you do hire green card people? 18 That was the question. 19 20 MR. RIPPEL: No. We have not hired green card 21 people. MR. GENTERT: Do you hire -- you don't hire 22 23 foreigners? They're all American citizens?

Everybody that we hire through

24

MR. RIPPEL:

- 1 VMC is E verified and is legal to work in the United 2 States.
- 3 MR. GENTERT: That wasn't the question. Are they American citizens? That's the question.
- MR. RIPPEL: Not everybody in VMC Management is

 American citizens, but they all are legal to work in

 the United States.
- 8 MR. GENTERT: How about the turnover ratio?
 9 You manage the facility, you should know the turnover
 10 ratio.
- MR. RIPPEL: It's about zero right now.
- HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: All right. We have a question over here.
- MR. PYLES: For the Department of Ag.
- HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Could you state your name again please.
- 17 MR. PYLES: Dennis Pyles, P-y-l-e-s, for the
 18 Department of Ag.
- Does Mr. Rippel have to, if he wants to
 increase the size of his operation, does he have to go
 through further licenses through you?
- MR. GOETSCH: Let's see. If this facility
 proposal is approved and it's built and it goes into
 operation, if they wanted to add a room to a building,

if they wanted to add another building, if they wanted
to expand the capacity of this facility, yes. They
would be required to file with the Department a notice
of intent to construct; they would have to provide
construction plans and specifications; and it depends
on how quickly that they opted to do this.

- If they did this within -- what is it -- within a two-year period of commencing production and they exceeded 50 percent of the fixed capital cost of -- in other words, if they wanted to double the size of it, if they did more than that, they would be right back here with a public informational meeting.
- If they were below that, they would only have to comply with all of the other provisions, but they would not have to have another hearing.
- MR. PYLES: And how often can they do that?

 Does it go back to the original number of hogs, or does

 it -- once they -- let's say they add 30 percent.
- Okay? So now two years later, can he add 30 percent again without going to a hearing?
- MR. GOETSCH: If it's more than two years later, yes.
- MR. PYLES: So he can -- over a period of say

 15 years -- how many hogs can he eventually have on

that farm?

MR. GOETSCH: Well, let me -- and you're not going to like this answer, but let me just put this out there -- there is a practical limit to how many animals can be at a site or how big a site can be. I do not believe that a sow farm like we're talking about here has ever in the 23-year history of the Department of -- or the Livestock Management Facilities Act, I do not believe that we have ever had one that doubled in size. We may have had one add a building or add a, you know, maybe 10 percent, 15 percent, maybe even 20 percent more and only did it once.

The reason being -- you heard them talk about biosecurity. You also need to consider just mass flow. You know, you've got to bring animals in, you've got to bring feed in, you've got to take animals out. There's a practical limit to how big you can get, and that's why they don't get any bigger.

MR. PYLES: Being the road commissioner, that's my concern. How big can this thing get? How big can this load get?

MR. GOETSCH: Well, you can ask him does he have any plans to expand in the future.

MR. PYLES: He's going to tell me no tonight.

MR. GOETSCH: My answer is there's a practical limit to the size of a facility, especially a facility like this with multiple buildings and multiple size, different size animals.

With finishing buildings, you know, we have seen a finishing farm maybe double in size, and then maybe half again as much again. That's the most we've ever seen.

I don't believe we've ever seen a sow farm expand. They've certainly never doubled. And the reason is there's a practical limit. No one wants to have that big of an investment in one spot subject to, you know -- if there was kind of a medical -- or, you know, a disease outbreak, they couldn't afford to have that kind of exposure. I'm sure the veterinarian can talk more about that, but there is a practical limit to the size of these facilities.

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: I'm sorry. I've got the man up there in the green shirt first. Do you still have a question?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: No. I'm going to pass.

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Okay. We'll go to you

23 then.

24 MR. MURPHY: I guess I'd like to --

```
MR. TEEFEY: If you would go ahead and state
1
 2
    your name and spell your last name please.
            MR. MURPHY: John Murphy, M-u-r-p-h-y.
 3
            I'd like to get the quantity for water usage
 4
5
    that this facility might use, quantifiable to some
6
    range, and then what the wells might be at.
            MR. RIPPEL: So Buffalo Run will use five
 7
    gallons per sow per day on average.
            MR. MURPHY: Mr. Rippel, I asked consumption, I
9
    didn't ask animals. I mean the building.
10
11
            MR. RIPPEL: That is, that is. I'm
    saying -- so for everything that happens in that farm,
12
    we monitor the water consumption. Not that the sows
13
    themselves drink five gallons, but for -- all of the
14
    water usage, whether it's power washing, consumed by
15
    the animals, the showers that we take for biosecurity
16
    reasons, we average five gallons per inventory sow.
17
18
    That's our water usage.
                        That puts wells?
19
            MR. MURPHY:
20
            MR. RIPPEL: The wells will be approximately
    900 feet deep.
21
            MR. TEEFEY: Do you have a question standing
22
23
    up?
24
            MR. SALISBURY:
                            Yes.
                                  My name is Charles
```

```
Salisbury, S-a-l-i-s-b-u-r-y.
1
            Do you guarantee me, Mr. Rippel, that you're
 2
    not going to affect my water?
 3
            MR. RIPPEL: Where do you live in relation to
 4
    the Buffalo Run site?
5
6
            MR. SALISBURY: About a mile and a half.
            MR. RIPPEL: How deep is your well?
 7
            MR. SALISBURY: About 120 feet.
            MR. RIPPEL: I will not.
9
            MR. SALISBURY: Are you sure about that?
10
            MR. RIPPEL: Going 900 feet, we'll be in a
11
    different aguifer than what you are in.
12
            MR. SALISBURY: You're going below my well, and
13
    that will change it.
14
            MR. RIPPEL: I've consulted with the well
15
    driller on this very topic, and he confirmed there will
16
    be no effect.
17
18
            MR. SALISBURY: He's getting paid.
            MR. RIPPEL: Yes.
19
20
            MR. LAPE: My name is Jeffrey Lape, L-a-p-e.
    Do you have a signed contract today with any farmers to
21
    apply manure to their fields?
22
23
            MR. RIPPEL: Yes.
            MR. LAPE: Can you give me their names?
24
```

```
1
            MR. RIPPEL:
                         no.
 2
            MR. LAPE: I'll find out.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Any other questions?
 3
 4
    Yes.
5
            MS. BLUMENSHINE: Thank you. Joyce
6
    Blumenshine, B-l-u-m-e-n-s-h-i-n-e.
 7
            Could I please ask, Mr. Goetsch, when was this
    permit put on public notice on the Department of Ag
    website?
9
            MR. GOETSCH: I don't have the specific date.
10
11
    I would assume it would have gone sometime after we
    received it. I don't know.
12
            MR. BLUMENSHINE: Sir, with all due respect, as
13
    a citizen, you did that mention in your comments IDOA
14
    received the notice dated April 24th. I believe it was
15
    some weeks until it appeared on your website, and for
16
    citizens who only have that as a source of information,
17
18
    what other options are there to find out about new
    applications that might affect them, please?
19
20
            MR. GOETSCH: Well, the only other option I
    quess -- well, we do the best that we can. We're not
21
    required to in the statute, but we believe it's
22
23
    important to do so. I don't have control over how
    quickly sometimes things happen, but there is always a
24
```

```
Freedom of Information Act request that you can make.
1
 2
            We do try to get those in there as quickly as
    we can. The statute requires that we make notice for
 3
    meetings like this, and we follow that. We can always
 4
5
    improve, and we'll certainly strive to do so.
6
            MS. BLUENSHINE: So is there any answer for why
 7
    there was an unusual -- that's my term, unusual --
    amount of delay from the time of application to when it
    was posted to your website?
9
            MR. GOETSCH: Well, the conspiracy theorists in
10
11
    the crowd will probably think there was, but --
            MS. BLUMENSHINE: No. I just was asking --
12
            MR. GOETSCH: -- I just would suggest that it
13
    was a case where -- I don't know the answer. I would
14
    assume that our web master could have been on vacation
15
    or had a health issue. I don't know. We work very
16
    hard, and if we haven't done a good job, we'll try and
17
    do better in the future.
18
19
            AUDIENCE MEMBER: So you're insulting the crowd
20
    now?
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
21
                                     There's a question.
            MR. GOETCSH: Yes. My name is Charles
22
23
    Salisbury, S-a-l-i-s-b-u-r-y.
24
            Was that comment all about, sir? I want to
```

```
know how we can get this crap changed, because it does
1
 2
    pertain to every person who lives in this community.
    We should have just about to say about it as much as
 3
    anybody, including you.
 4
5
            AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.
6
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Well, could you repeat
 7
    that question please.
            MR. SALISBURY: How can we change these rules
    that you go by so we can stop this stuff?
                                                This is a
9
    factory farm. Why don't he go to Iowa?
10
                                              That's where
11
    he's from. Is the income tax that he's paying and his
    company -- is it coming to Illinois or is it coming to
12
13
    Iowa?
            AUDIENCE MEMBER: It goes down every year too.
14
                          The -- we have to follow the
15
            MR. GOETSCH:
    provisions in the statute. The statute is passed by
16
    the General Assembly. If you don't like the statute,
17
18
    then you need to talk to your elected Senators and
    Representatives.
19
2.0
            MR. SALISBURY: We ain't got much of that.
            MR. GOETSCH:
                          If I have offended someone by
21
    someone I said --
22
23
            AUDIENCE MEMBER: You did.
```

MR. GOETSCH: -- I apologize.

The point is

- that the statute requires the applicant to send

 out -- once we have determined that they meet the

 setback distances, then they're required to send out

 copies of the NOITC to all of the land owners within

 the setback distances.
- Now, if that is not adequate, which in some

 cases, you know, I'm sure some people think that it's

 not, that's why we try to put it on the website as

 well. And, again, if we're not doing a good enough job

 there, we will redouble our efforts to try to get that

 done at a -- in a more timely basis.

12

13

14

15

16

- And, you know, again, if you don't like the provisions of the statute, just like any other law, if you don't like the provisions of the Environmental Protection Act or the county code or the municipal code or any -- please -- that's what our elected officials are for.
- 18 MR. SALISBURY: The County turned that Sandy
 19 Creek farm down and you let it go.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: The gentlemen in the 21 hat actually has a question.
- MR. GENTERT: You guys give your fast talk
 about --
- 24 | HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: I apologize. Can you

```
state your name one more time for the record?
1
 2
            MR. GENTERT: Charles Gentert, G-e-n-t-e-r-t.
 3
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
                                     Thank you.
            MR. GENTERT: The Chicago Tribune ran a series
 4
5
    on these ag CAFOs, and they interviewed Lisa Madigan.
6
    She says the way the law is written, it's got to
 7
    change -- I can't quote her -- but to represent the
    citizens, not the corporations. She made that quote
    somewhere in the -- where they interviewed her for the
9
    article.
10
11
            Has the Department of Ag recommended any
    changes so that -- more in favor of the citizens
12
    instead of the corporations, so they aren't a burden on
13
    the citizens?
14
            MR. GENTERT: So your question is: Have we
15
    proposed any changes to the Livestock Management
16
    Facilities Act?
17
18
            MR. GENTERT: Right.
            MR. GOETSCH: Not in the last 10 years.
19
20
            MR. GENTERT: Well, aren't you supposed to
    represent the citizens? It seems like the same thing
21
    came out over in Quincy, here, and Wenona, the same
22
23
    thing. You guys just fast talk your way away. So why
24
    haven't you?
```

```
MR. GOETSCH: Because, again, our role is
1
 2
    administer the law as is passed by the General Assembly
    and signed by the governor. It's not specifically to
 3
    change legislation. That's what the General Assembly
 4
    is for.
5
6
            MR. GENTERT: But have you guys ever
 7
    recommended to the legislature or to the governor that
    some of these laws have to be changed to look out for
    the taxpayers instead of the corporations?
9
            MR. GOETSCH: We have been called upon and
10
11
    testified at legislative hearings in the past, yes.
            MR. GENTERT: And you probably stood up for the
12
    Farm Bureau and the corporations.
13
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: In the isle.
14
            MS SALISBURY: Cindy Salisbury, C-i-n-d-y,
15
    S-a-l-i-s-b-u-r-y. I have a question for you and your
16
17
    company.
18
            Why, Nicolas, have you chosen our area and this
    spot to put this farm factory, hog factory? Why there?
19
20
    Did you talk to the neighbor and the neighbors within
    the district before you decided to go on with it?
21
    do you continue to come into areas why rule community
22
23
    lives, where you know you're going to be in for a
    fight, you know the people don't want that big of a hog
24
```

```
factory in their neighborhood, but you want to build
1
 2
    it?
            Why not choose areas where nobody lives around,
 3
    you're not going to bother anybody, you're not going to
 4
5
    hurt their water supply? Why do you come into our area
6
    from Iowa to put this on us and our neighbor and
    disrupt our lives and her life and the other neighbors
 7
    around the area? Why did you choose this location?
    And give me a straight honest answer, not a dance.
9
            MR. RIPPEL: I chose this location because I'm
10
11
    a native of Marshall County. Two years ago I had the
    opportunity to reinvest in my home town area, and now
12
    today I have another opportunity to do that.
13
            MR. GENTERT: Why don't you build on your dad's
14
    farm?
15
            MS. SALISBURY: Who is profiting from this?
16
    You and your investors?
17
18
            MR. RIPPEL: We work --
19
            MS. SALISBURY: They have lots of money on
20
    this; correct?
            MR. RIPPEL: We work with independent pig
21
    farmers.
22
23
            MS. SALISBURY: What is the annual income that
    you're profiting off of these facilities going in?
24
```

MR. RIPPEL: We work with independent pig 1 2 farmers. I'll give you an example. I've got another 3 farm, unrelated to Buffalo Run. We've got the next 4 5 generation. It's one of the first farms that we --6 managed -- started to manage. We've got the next 7 generation coming to farm. We got two 27 to 28 year old young farmers that are in this farm, taking the pig 8 back to their own operation. These are young farming 9 families. 10 This is what Buffalo Run will be. We will work 11 with independent pig producers to --12 MS. SALISBURY: You didn't answer the question. 13 How much money are you and your investors profiting off 14 of this facility that is going to be going up and at 15

our expense?

MR. RIPPEL: This facility specifically does

not make a profit because it is owned by the owners who

receive the pigs -- the weaned pigs out of the farm.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. SALISBURY: You are not making any money off of the sale of these piglets going to that farm?

MR. RIPPEL: No. Because it's the same owner who owns the sow farm who takes the pigs back to their own place. VMC Management does not sell the pigs, no.

```
MS. SALISBURY: So you're saying it's a big
1
 2
    co-op?
 3
            MR. RIPPEL: Correct.
            MS. SALISBURY: And how many of these farms
 4
5
    that the hogs are going to are in Illinois and how many
6
    are going to Iowa?
            MR. RIPPEL: This is throughout Illinois and
8
    Iowa.
            MS. SALISBURY: How many in Illinois and how
9
    many in Iowa?
10
            MR. RIPPEL: I don't have that number as I sit
11
    here today.
12
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Question for the black
13
    shirt in the back.
14
            MS. HUDSON: I need a clarification from the
15
    Department of Agriculture. I'm Karen Hudson.
16
17
            I testified at a senate hearing in the last
18
    years, and the Department of Ag actually testified at
    that hearing; is that correct?
19
20
            MR. GOETSCH:
                          I believe so. I'm not sure which
    hearing you're talking about, but I believe that we
21
    were at the similar hearing.
22
23
            MS. HUDSON: My question is: If you're telling
    us to go back to legislators, why does the Department
24
```

- of Ag testify at hearings and say there's nothing wrong with the LMFA?
- MR. GOETSCH: I don't know that I have ever

 made that statement. I believe that I have been asked

 by members of the general assembly to give a report on

 the number of facilities or the number of applications.

 A lot of the questions that we've had here explain the

 process.
 - MS. HUDSON: Do you weigh in either way as far as legislation? Does the IDOA weigh in either way as far as legislation, or do they step aside due to the conflict of interest?

- MR. GOETSCH: It depends on what the question is. If the General Assembly -- if a member of the General Assembly would ask a specific question about a provision or the need for an additional provision or a change in a provision, we would explain what we believe the impact of that would be.
- But I don't push the button for the General Assembly member in terms of how they vote or how they don't. We provide information about the program that we administer.
- MS. HUDSON: Okay. It seems different to us, but thank you very much.

```
HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: We are creeping up at
1
 2
    8:30. We have a pretty long list of people who have
    signed up for oral testimony, so if we could finish up
 3
    with the question and answer session in the next 10
 4
5
    minutes, I think that would be a good idea for this
6
    process.
            So if you any other questions, if you could go
 7
    ahead and ask them quickly.
            Yes, sir.
9
            MR. GENTERT: This is public hearing. You
10
11
    can't cut that off, right? It's a public hearing,
    anybody can speak. You can't set a time on it, can you
12
    legally?
13
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: I mean, if someone --
14
    I just said if someone has a question please ask them.
15
    I'm not going to cut anyone off. That question wasted
16
    more time than I was going to let -- if you have a
17
18
    question, you may ask a question.
            Are there any other questions at that time?
19
20
    Yes. Back there in the pink.
            MS. DEWEERTH:
                          My name is Sharon DeWeerth.
21
    have a question.
22
23
            Is there not a high rate of swine disease in
24
    Iowa?
```

```
HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Is this question for
1
 2
    the Department or the facility? Are you asking this of
    the facility?
 3
            MS. DEWEERTH: Yeah.
 4
                                  In general.
5
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
                                    Okay.
6
            MS. DEWEERTH: Why are you not in Iowa instead
    of coming over here to Illinois. Why?
7
            MR. RIPPEL:
                         So, Sharon, I appreciate you
    coming out tonight. I know we've met on several
9
    occasions and at that time I addressed all of your
10
11
    concerns.
            But to get to your question, are there pig
12
    diseases in Iowa? Yes. There's pig diseases Iowa, and
13
    there's pig diseases in Illinois and all across the
14
    country. One of the attractiveness of this location to
15
    for the -- to build a farm is that there is not as high
16
    dense area of pigs in the area.
17
18
            Now, granted, within five miles to the north
    and three miles to the -- or four miles to the south,
19
20
    we have two very similar type of facilities, pig farms.
    But it's not as dense as it is in, say, the part of
21
    Iowa that our practice is based out of.
22
23
            MS. DEWEERTH: Why is it that all of a sudden
```

It's not Camp Grove.

it's Camp Grove as an address?

1 It's Henry. 2 MS. BAKER: The nearest populated area, distance wise, is Camp Grove. That's why it's listed 3 that way on the NOITC. 4 5 MR. SALISBURY: Henry mailing address, just 6 like mine. 7 MS. DEWEERTH: Dr. Rippel, did you say not too long ago there would be 13,000 animals at the facility 8 at a given time? 9 10 MR. RIPPEL: The baby pigs. 11 MS. DEWEERTH: Then why does it say on the Marshall County order on May 9th that the number would 12 be 22,000 under roof? 13 14 MR. RIPPEL: I'm not sure where you're getting those numbers. 15 MR. GOETSCH: Well, let me just help out here. 16 If you look at the notice of intent to 17 construct, the number of sows equates to -- I'm sorry. 18 Okay. You have 8,890 head of swine, which is the sow 19 side, and you have 13,653 head of swine -- less than 20 55, and that's the piglets. You add those two 21 together, you're going to add up with 22,000 head of 22 23 something. So I think they just combined those two 24

```
numbers. You got to remember the animal unit
1
 2
    calculation is -- it's split. Anything above 55, that
    would be the sows; anything below 55 pounds, that would
 3
    be the piglets. But if you add those two numbers
 4
5
    together, you would come up with their 22,000.
6
            MS. DEWEERTH: All right. And my other
    question: What do you do with the dead pigs? What is
 7
    your disposal?
            MR. RIPPEL: So when farmers raise livestock,
9
    you're always going to have to deal with dead animals;
10
11
    right? So we will have a concrete compost structure
    that has a roof overhead and a fenced perimeter, and we
12
    will compost the mortalities.
13
            MS. DEWEERTH: And that will be outside; am I
14
15
    correct?
            MR. RIPPEL: It will have a roof over the
16
17
    entire structure, but it will have exposure to the
18
    outside, correct.
            MS. DEWEERTH: Mr. Rippel, we got coyotes out
19
20
    there pretty bad as it is. Do you think this is not
    going to -- I don't care if they are in an enclosed
21
22
    structure.
23
            MR. RIPPEL: We'll have a -- just like at Sandy
```

Creek Lane, we'll have a chain link fence all the way

```
around the compost structure to prevent any scavengers
1
 2
    from getting into the compost.
            MS. DEWEERTH: I've been told when I asked this
 3
    question -- by you, Dr. Rippel, we need to produce pork
 4
    to feed the people. Are we talking about the same
5
6
    number -- not Chicago in this, but are there not less
 7
    people that are consuming people that are pork, then
    there are people that are consuming corn and soybeans
    and the products that that corn and soybeans go into?
9
10
            You're telling me we're feeding people sausage
11
    and pork versus corn and soybeans? You're talking,
    Dr. Rippel, about corn and soybeans as part of the
12
    feeding. That corn and soybean crops are not going for
13
    human -- for people -- for human consumption. You're
14
    using them to feed your pigs. We got people living
15
    in --
16
17
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: I'm sorry, ma'am.
                                                         Is
18
    there
    a -- do you have a question that you're asking?
19
20
            MS. DEWEERTH: Yeah.
                                  I do have a question.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
21
                                     Okay.
            MS. DEWEERTH: I do. Because how can you
22
23
    justify taking 28 acres out of good farm land and good
    black, beautiful soil that we have out there and
24
```

turning it into a factory? How?

MR. RIPPEL: So when we raise pigs on the farm today compared to 60 years ago, we're using 75 percent less land. So we could -- so you're saying take 28 acres out of production, but before we took 75 percent more out of production for the same amount of pigs.

The corn that we produce in the state of

Illinois and in the county as a general, the majority

of that goes to feed livestock.

MS. DEWEERTH: All right. And we have limited amount acres in this county, and if those acres get taken out of production by different facilities -- you know what, we only have so much land. When it's gone, it's gone.

MR. RIPPEL: That's why not only pig farmers but crop farmers have embraced science and technology to produce more food with less then ever before in human history. That's how we're feeing the world.

So when -- look at everything that we get from China, from our shirts to our shoes. We can feed the world. We can feed ourselves, and we can feed the world. I'm awfully proud that I can say pork is made in the USA.

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Question here.

```
MS. LAPE: Jeffrey Lape again. You purchased
1
 2
    28 acres there; is that correct?
            MR. RIPPEL: We have a purchase option for 28
 3
    acres; correct.
 4
5
            MR. LAPE: How many acres will you have under
6
    roof?
            MR. RIPPEL: I don't know that number off the
 7
    top of my head.
            MR. LAPE: Sit there and work on it.
9
            What will be the distance between your property
10
    line and any building? What's the closest distance
11
    between any hog confinement building to the property
12
13
    line?
            MS. BAKER: We will meet the County zoning
14
    ordinance on the setback to the property lines, and
15
    we're exceeding that. But off the top of my head, I
16
    cannot give you a specific number.
17
18
            MR. LAPE: By the way, an acre is 43,500 square
19
    feet.
20
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: I think the
    gentlemen -- does the gentleman in a white shirt have a
21
    question? The gentleman in a green shirt have a
22
23
    question?
```

Yes.

MR. STEIL:

The gentlemen that is

24

```
offering this property for purchase, is he in the
1
 2
    audience and would he be all right to stand up and be
    identified?
            AUDIENCE MEMBER: He's here.
 4
5
            MR. STEIL: Would he be okay to stand up and be
    identified?
6
            AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yeah. I'm right here.
            MR. STEIL:
                        Thank you, sir.
            AUDIENCE MEMBER: You bet.
9
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: All right. We'll go
10
11
    ahead and take the last -- last question here.
                          Okay. How many --
12
            MR. GENTERT:
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: I apologize to do this
13
14
    again, but could you state your name again for the
    record?
15
            MR. GENTERT: Charles Gentert, G-e-n-t-e-r-t.
16
            How many complaints with the EPA -- matter of
17
18
    fact, I have not filed one yet. I have called them a
    couple of times. But how many complaints through the
19
20
    EPA has been filed on Sandy Creek Lane? How many
    complaints have been filed with the EPA for the smell?
21
            MR. RIPPEL: So within the first year of
22
23
    operation, we did have communication with the Illinois
24
          I have not had any contact in regards to the odor
    EPA.
```

complaints. It's been over a year since I've had any communication with the Illinois EPA as far as any odor complaints.

I do know in speaking with angered neighbors around -- obviously you know, as well as I do, who are the ones that file odor complaints. I know of people between those neighbors that do not file odor complaints, because they do not believe it affects their daily lives.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: That is not true. They don't file odor complaints because they didn't know where to file them. That's just more BS.

MR. GENTERT: I want it on record because I believe the other as opposed to the people here. Why would Senator Weaver's office, the representative, when she said she contacted the EPA that numerous complaints have been filed? Why would she lie to me? She said she's contacted the EPA and numerous complaints have been filed and basically when the law is written, there's nothing that can be done.

Why would she lie to me, Mr. Rippel?

MR. RIPPEL: I'm not saying anybody is lying to

I just -- I have no knowledge of when the last

time the IEPA contact me.

```
MR. GENTERT: One last question. Are you
1
    required by law to put that additive in the pits to
 2
    keep the smell down?
 3
            MR. RIPPEL: Repeat that one more time please.
 4
                          The additive that breaks down the
 5
            MR. GENTERT:
6
    phosphorus and that? Are you required by law to put
 7
    that in the pits?
            MR. RIPPEL:
                         It is not required, but we do add
    it to the pits to reduce the odor.
9
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Okay. Right now I
10
11
    have 8:35. We're going to take a short, five-minute
    break to collect the people who have submitted -- or
12
    have signed up to do oral testimony. We currently have
13
    27 people signed up to give oral testimony. We'll take
14
    a quick five-minute break.
15
            If you have written testimony -- if you have
16
    written testimony that you would like to submit as part
17
18
    of the record, you may bring that to me at this point,
    at this time. When we resume this meeting, we will
19
20
    enter that into the order.
            So guick five-minute break. Let's reconvene at
21
    8:40 for the oral testimony of tonight's meeting.
22
23
    Thank you.
24
                   (8:35 p.m., recess taken.)
```

(8:42 p.m., proceedings resumed.)

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Let's go ahead and get started again. I have a small file of people who have submitted written at the time of the. Is there anybody else that would like to submit written testimony before we got started with the oral testimony?

Now, if you have written testimony that is not referred to in your oral testimony, go ahead and bring it up. But if you have written testimony that you will be referencing during your oral testimony, it can be entered into the record after your oral testimony.

Okay. I currently have the sign-up sheets that were in the entrance to the room. Listing the people who wish to provide oral testimony.

I would call the names of those who wish to testify. When called upon, please step up to the microphone here at the front of the room, state your name and spell your last name for the record. I will then swear you in.

Now, please remember that during this portion you will have three minutes to speak. I will also -- after I call up the name of the next person speaking, I will also just let you know who the next speaker is after that, so you can go ahead and come forward as

```
well so you're ready to speak after the current
1
 2
    presenter.
            At this time, are there any attorneys who are
 3
    representing clients who would like to provide oral
 4
5
    testimony? Okay.
6
            We'll go ahead and get started with the oral
    testimony. The first name on the list is Sharon
7
    dither, after Sharon will be Vicki Walderschmidt.
            MS. DEWEERTH: Good evening. My name Sharon
9
    DeWeerth, D-e-W-e-e-r-t-h.
10
11
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: And Ms. DeWeerth, if
    you could raise your right hand so that I can swear you
12
13
    in.
                  (The witness was sworn.)
14
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you. You may
15
    proceed.
16
17
            MS. DEWEERTH: As I said, my name is Sharon
18
    DeWeerth, and I'm a farmer in Marshall County, Illinois
    and the nearest neighbor --
19
2.0
            AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear. Can't hear.
            MS. DEWEERTH: That better?
21
            AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.
22
23
            MS. DEWEERTH: Okay. All right. I'll start
24
    over.
```

My name is Sharon DeWeerth. I am a farmer in Marshall County and the nearest neighbor to the proposed Buffalo Run CAFO site.

I've lived and worked on my farm for 52 years.

I'm also a Farm Bureau member, and I oppose the fact
that they support CAFOs.

I grew up on the farm. I'm a third-generation farmer. I'm very proud of that. I am a registered nurse and have devoted my entire professional life to not only caring for people, but caring about people. I do care a great deal about you in my neighborhood and my community and this town and the area.

Because of my medical background, I am concerned that the construction of this factory will not only damage my health but the health of others in Marshall County. I know I only have three minutes.

The toxic gases like hydrogen sulfate, which is not even monitored or -- I'm told -- regulated in Illinois, often affects people in dangerous ways. Even very, very low levels of the gas can cause permanent neurological damage to people who are nearby. Among that, the gas aggravates asthma and causes other problems.

It actually carries the dust particles from

- 1 | CAFOs deep into the lungs, which can cause lung damage.
- 2 The other things blowing out of these buildings consist
- of viruses, fungi, skin, hair, dried urine, feces and
- 4 | additives like antibiotics and other drugs. This will
- 5 | be ventilated through fans towards my farm and my
- 6 | neighbors. If the fans fail, the hogs die. Can you
- 7 | tell me how safe that is for these workers? It's not
- 8 very safe.
- 9 The most vulnerable people are children and the
- 10 | elderly. We have a young boy in our neighborhood with
- 11 asthma. Do you think this is not going to cause him
- 12 problems for a long, long time? Think about it,
- 13 | people.
- 14 The industry -- they can't deny this. These
- 15 | are facts. These are facts studied by universities and
- 16 | what not.
- 17 What we're seeing with these antibiotics that
- 18 | are being pumped into these hogs -- we are seeing
- 19 people, patients, whatever that are becoming drug
- 20 resistant. They can't fight out of the diseases
- 21 because there's not a drug that will take care of them,
- 22 and MRSA is one of those. I'm not sure how familiar
- 23 | most of you are with MRSA, but it's terrible.
- 24 So by becoming antibiotic resistant, we are

```
putting your lives in possible danger. And if you've
1
 2
    ever -- that my signal?
 3
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Yeah. If you can go
    ahead and finish up.
 4
5
            MS. DEWEERTH: Okay.
6
            So please, please educate yourselves about
    these factories. They're not farms, people. They're
7
    factories.
            As I said, I'm a farmer and a farm bureau
9
    member, and I disagree with their stance on it. I'm a
10
11
    local resident who opposes the unsustainable business
    within my community. Thank you very much.
12
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you. Next up is
13
    Vicki Walderschmidt. After Ms. Walderschmidt will be
14
    Karen Hudson.
15
            MS. HUDSON: I'm going to pass tonight, but
16
    thanks.
17
18
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
                                     I'm sorry?
            MS. HUDSON: I'm going to pass tonight.
19
20
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Okay. After
    Ms. Walderschmidt will be Charles Gentert.
21
            MR. GENTERT: Gentert.
22
23
            MR. TEEFEY: Okay.
            Ms. Walderschmidt, would you please raise your
24
```

```
right hand.
1
 2
                  (The witness was sworn.)
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
                                     Thank you. Could you
 3
    please state your name for the court reporter.
 4
5
            MS. WALDSCHMIDT: My name is Vicki Waldschmidt.
6
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Waldschmidt.
 7
    apologize.
            MS. WALDSCHMIDT: I'm concerned about the water
    waste that we have in our area. Crow Creek is miles
9
    long. It runs through the center of the field where
10
    the sow confinement will be located. North of that
11
    field is another Crow Creek going west to east.
12
    of them are doing the same thing.
13
            We also have Scholls Ranch. It's a waterway
14
    that runs on LaPrairie. It comes across western at 3
15
    and 400 east, and it travels to the northeast.
16
    waterways are open to the wild life and the farmers
17
18
    chickens, cows, horses, whatever they may have.
            The Sankoty aquifer is my drinking water, my
19
20
    only water supply. The water supply in Henry is also
    from the Sankoty Aquifer, so be aware of that. We are
21
    concerned about our water. Every neighbor has a well
22
23
    for their water supply. It's necessary.
24
    necessary for life.
```

```
Hog confinements in Iowa have polluted over 700
1
 2
    waterways, and now researchers have found that the
    waterways in Iowa with the highest concentrated --
 3
    concentration of livestock are the ones with the
 4
5
    highest nitrate pollution.
                                That's it.
6
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
                                     Thank you.
            MS. WALDSCHMIDT: You're welcome.
 7
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: After Ms. -- or Mr.
    what is your last name? I apologize.
9
10
            MR. GENTERT:
                         Gentert.
11
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Mr. Gentert.
                                                   Next
    will be Ruth Giffin. Will you raise your right hand?
12
                   (The witness was sworn.)
13
            MR. GENTERT: G-e-n-t-e-r-t.
14
            I'm Charlie Gentert. I live approximately a
15
    mile and a half to two miles away from Sandy Creek
16
17
    Lane.
18
            I don't care what Mr. Rippel says, it drives
    people out of their houses. It stinks when they're
19
20
    spreading it. Don't the people next to these got any
    rights on the smell and that? I've called the EPA.
21
    I've never filed a compliant, but I've called them.
22
23
    I've called the Department of Ag, never no answer.
24
            Mr. Rippel gets up there and talks about how
```

great he's impact economic development. 18 and 39,
that's a TIF district. My opinion of TIF districts is
that you take away from one taxing body and give it to
somebody else. That's beside the point. They've been
trying to get that developed. Now, as bad as it is
stink is some days, who is going to develop that?

I'll also say. He talks about spreading the manure and that and how they abide by the laws. Well, one of my neighbors had to call the EPA when he got home from vacation or Springfield, I forget which one, because they went right up to his property line and right next to his well.

No EPA complaint was filed? Well, it was filed, but what are they going to do? They got right up next to his property line, not knowing where the well is, and injected that stuff. The EPA was out, so you can double-check. It's documented and that. Don't fall for it.

I know that at least when we fought out we had the money, you know, to take it through the court system. But like I've tried to say, the Department of Ag pushes it over to the legislation. No representation.

If you say anything negative, you get

intimidated. Because when we got all done, they said we couldn't fight it in court because the laws were all written in the corporation's favor. Okay. We signed a paper saying we wanted to stop the lawsuit against the Department of Ag. About a week or so later the press interviewed their person and said -- she said, well, we couldn't start construction, we're going to go to the next phase.

Well, a week later, a high-class lawyer out of Rockford intimidated us in sending a letter. We're going to sue because we signed it. No. All we said was stop construction and not drop the lawsuit again the United States Department of AG. So you can say VMC Management is going to be neighborly. You say anything bad and they -- they try to intimidate you.

I live in LaSalle county, but Marshall -- Evans
Township is supposed to take care of my road. They're
leaving the road to go to hell. I got to call the
County to get it plowed. Can't even get -- it's
breaking up. Can't even get some gravel on it. They
take of the -- by Sandy Creek lane and everything.

I hope the road commissioner sticks to his guns, because LaSalle County, when those roads are posted -- they ain't supposed to be on them even they

```
go on them because LaSalle County.
1
 2
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Okay. Thank you.
            Ruth Giffin is next. After Ms. Giffin is Tammy
 3
 4
    Halterman.
5
            MS. GIFFIN: I believe my husband gave you my
6
    papers.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: For the written
    testimony?
9
            MS. GIFFIN: Yes.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Do you want to
10
    reference those in this oral testimony?
11
            MS. GIFFIN:
12
                         Yes.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Okay. If you could
13
    please raise your right hand.
14
                   (The witness was sworn.)
15
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
                                     Thank you. You may
16
17
    proceed.
18
            MS. GIFFIN: My name is Ruth Ann Giffin.
                                                      I'm a
    wife, mother, grandmother. My husband and I farm just
19
20
    five miles south of this proposed factory farm.
            This project does not meet the LMFA criteria 8,
21
    that's the Livestock Management Facilities Act.
22
23
    sheer magnitude of this project will likely degrade the
    health, the quality of life, the property values, and
24
```

the local ecology of the area, and that does not fit the vision of Marshall County. This is not the model of agriculture that we welcome in Marshall County.

Having lived in the farm community for the past 20 years, I've learned that farm communities support one another. They care for one another. They work together with each other and with nature, and sometimes against nature.

My husband had a heart attack two years ago, and our field was literally filled with combines and wagons and tractors as others came to help. Now, that's my impression of a farm community.

As a former school teacher, 4H leader, room mother, Red Cross instructor, and director of the puppet ministry team, I'm concerned about the children and the legacy that factory farms will leave for them.

While I was an associate director of the Soil and Water Conservation district for five years, I learned that local farms work hard to be good stewards of the land, hoping to preserve it for future generations.

When a factory farm comes in, it covers the land with concrete and manure pits. Let me repeat that. It covers the land with concrete and manure

pits. It takes good, beautiful black soil out of production, and it covers it with an industrial-sized operation that has been proven to degrade water, air, and public health due to the amount of raw waste it creates.

I have seen the movie "Right to Farm" and the effects of factory farms on the neighbors. History proves that they are definitely not neighborly. They have emissions and odors that drive their neighbors indoors or completely out of their homes.

Folks that are forced to remain on property have been proven to exhibit the same types of same affects as the confinement workers themselves who work inside these facilities. These emissions adversely affect immediate neighbors, and may adversely affect nearby communities. Folks with allergies and asthma, especially children, and adults with COPD are at particular risk.

Studies from Iowa show acute respiratory symptoms, asthma, and airway obstruction increase with the proximity to and the density of swine CAFOs.

Research also shows that the elderly, the immune compromised, and children are more at risk to the pollution that is created.

```
The Marshall County nearest neighbors are
1
 2
    elderly farmers themselves who will be affected.
    farmer myself, I don't want anyone to have a negative
 3
    impression of farmers.
 4
5
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Ms. Halterman [sic],
6
    if you could please conclude your oral testimony.
            MS. GIFFIN: Yes. Yes.
                                     I'm sorry.
            If you live near one of these -- I'm sorry.
    closing statement.
9
            We have the right, many rights in this great
10
11
    nation, but I do not believe we have the right to
    destroy the health and quality of life for others.
12
    am concerned for Marshall County and for the world that
13
    we leave for generations to come. As a Marshall County
14
    farmer, I stand opposed to this project. Thank you.
15
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
                                     Thank you. Oh, I
16
    apologize. My fault. Okay. Tammy Halterman is up
17
18
    next and Pam Janssen will be next.
            Do you have that correct on the record?
19
    testimony was just given by Ruth Giffin. Just it's
20
    good for the record.
21
                  (The witness was sworn.)
22
23
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: You may proceed.
24
            MS. HALTERMAN: Good evening.
                                           I'm Tammy
```

Halterman, District 5 Director of the Illinois Farm
Bureau.

I would like to thank the Department of Ag for the opportunity to speak this evening on the proposed Buffalo Run hog farm in Marshall County.

My family and I raise livestock and farm in Grundy County, and through my involvement with the organization, I have worked with farmers throughout the State on matters relating to livestock.

The Illinois Farm Bureau supports all aspects of agriculture, including the development of livestock farms. Animal Agriculture plays a vital role in the economics of Illinois, including counties such as Marshall. Our organization's policy is to encourage growth and enhancement of livestock industry in Illinois, provided facilities comply with the statutory and regulatory requirements.

Today's farm have changed over the course of a few decades, just as many of our homes and businesses have evolved through the years. Although the size of farms have grown, the values we hold dear are just as strong as they were generations ago. We, too, want safe food, quality care of animals, and protection for environment.

In the most recent date from the Illinois EPA, fewer than 1 percent of the livestock farms in Illinois have any environmental issues. The Livestock

Management Facilities Act governs the siting,

construction, and certain aspects of operating

livestock farms in our state. It ensures that

livestock farms will be sited on scientific objective

criteria, and rules are applied uniformly throughout

the State.

Since it's enactment 20 years ago, the LMFA has balanced the goals of the Environmental Protection

Agency with allowing farmers to earn a living raising livestock.

Tonight this meeting provided the public an opportunity to ask questions or comment on proposed construction of Buffalo Run. The testimony presented by Dr. Rippel and Gayle Baker informed attendees the plans for this proposed facility to meet each set of criteria, including environmental protections.

Should the Department discover from the testimony tonight the information contained within the applications and inspections of the proposed site the ability of Buffalo Run to meet the standards set forth in the LMFA, this project should be allowed to proceed

to the next phase of the LMFA process. 1 2 Bringing more livestock production into Illinois, specifically to Marshall County, allows 3 future generations an opportunity to become involved in 4 5 animal agriculture. The opportunities available to 6 newer farmers are sometimes limited, but expanding livestock allows those interested a place in the industry. Additionally, livestock production also 9 supports the grain farmers in and around Marshall 10 11 County. Livestock are an important market for the corn and soybeans we raised, especially now with the 12 uncertain trade situation currently facing us. 13 On behalf of the Illinois Farm Bureau, I 14 appreciate the opportunity to speak tonight. Thank 15 16 you. HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you. 17 18 John Murphy will be next. (Witness was sworn.) 19 2.0 MS. JANSSEN: Good evening, everyone. My name is Pam Janssen, and I am the president 21 of Illinois Pork Producers. I farm. I am a pig 22 23 farmer. I have a family. We raise pigs. My husband and I live just south of Minonk. It's a 2.5 mile drive 24

straight south to our farm. Our farm has been a generational farm. Our two grandchildren are now 7th generation. That is a long time.

Our pig barns have operated without any issue.

The annual tax revenue that we generate supports our local community and everything in it. Fieldcrest School District down to the fire department. We donate sausage. We contribute back to our community.

The Livestock Management Facilities Act, regulated by the Department of Ag, continues to provide consistent statewide standards and ensures all livestock farms will be sited on that scientific basis. The current regulations that pig farms have to comply with are to protect the environment and the communities and the people that live in the communities. Even after they are constructed, the buildings, we still have rules that we have to follow in order to stay in business.

As we continue to adopt new technology to raise our animals and be profitable -- yes, it is a business, that's what we do -- we want to stay transparent and be open to everybody in the community. You have questions? Just ask us. Don't Google it. Don't Facebook it. Ask us. We are here.

Our top priority is always to protect our 1 2 environment, our communities, but to also provide a protein for families that may not otherwise be able to 3 afford food. Food pantries, we donate. What else can 4 5 we do? We give back. That's what farmers do. 6 I work in a barn every day, seven days a week with sows, baby pigs, finishing pigs. It's my life. 7 It's our family's life. Thank you for allowing me to 9 speak. HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you. 10 11 John Murphy is up next. After Mr. Murphy will be Jeffrey Lape. 12 (The witness was sworn.) 13 HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you. You may 14 proceed. 15 THE DEFENDANT: John Murphy, M-u-r-p-h-y. I'm 16 speaking on behalf of my children, Ryan and Natalie 17 18 Murphy, 16 and 18 years old. We live at 1479 County Road 300, about a mile 19 20 from the proposed hog operation. The original Murphy homestead, which is still owned by my brothers and 21 sisters, is about one mile away at 1347 LaPrairie Road. 22 23 We are a centennial farm, and we are proud of the 6th generation farming family. The Murphys have always

24

been good stewards of the soil, with a constant and unwavering eye towards sustainability and preservation of the family farm and with the intention to pass that onto the next generation.

My children have participated in 4H for over ten years and every season have had show pigs. Their grandparents raised pigs their entire life. Their Uncle Tom and their dad also had a hog operation for many years. We are no strangers to animal agriculture, including pork production -- all aspects of farming including pork production.

It is because of this we are here tonight to stand in opposition to the magnitude and scale of the proposed operation. We are not opposed to pork production. We are in opposition to the magnitude and scale.

There is no mitigation that can successfully deal with the odor of 22,000 pigs. There will be as many pigs in that facility as cumulative total to number of people in the towns of Camp Grove, Henry, Bradford, Sparland, Chillicothe, Wyoming, Toulon, Tiskilwa, and Princeton. That's a total 22,516 people, which happens to equate to the proposed facility size.

There is no doubt in absolute -- that in the

best efforts of some kind of odor mitigation, there
will still be degradation of air quality. Just because
the operation meets the minimum guidelines, it does
nothing to improve the quality of life in the
surrounding community.

In addition to the potential threats to water, along with odor, seven months ago in the state of Minnesota, which is the 3rd largest pork producer in the US, the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency denied a pork facility permit on the basis of the possible potential excess nitrates accumulating in an already stressed agricultural aquifer.

There's not one person in this room who would consciously choose to locate his or her home -- or her or his family next to the operation of this magnitude. It is stated the facility will provide approximately 25 jobs. However, it will severely, negatively impact the surrounding community that are forced to live with it seven days a week and the rest of their lives.

It is because of these things I thank the County board, I thank the Illinois Department of Agriculture trying to support our local farmers. We ask that you deny this corporate-style, large-scale, air-polluting hog operation on behalf of the past,

```
present, and future Murphy generations and the area
1
 2
    neighbors of western Marshall County. Thank you.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Jeffrey Lape is up
 3
           After Jeffrey Lape will be Jeffrey Morten.
 4
                  (The witness was sworn.)
5
6
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you. You may
 7
    proceed.
            MR. LAPE: My name is Jeffrey Lape, L-a-p-e.
            Hi, folks. I'm just kind of winging it here.
9
    I live at 1547 Country Road 300 East, one mile north of
10
    the facility. I come from a farming family, though I
11
    am not a farmer. I wanted to move to the county 21
12
    years ago, and that's how long I've been in my house.
13
            I don't care what anybody else does on their
14
    property. I don't care what people do with hogs.
15
    I care about is walking out my door and spelling what I
16
    consider to be putrid.
17
18
            We're not going to stop the facility from going
         It's going to happen. Common sense. All we can
19
20
    do is fight after the fact and sue them. Every day
    that the you smell hog feces outside your house,
21
    document it. Get witnesses and friends. Class-action
22
23
    lawsuits are becoming successful in the nation against
    this type of abuse.
24
```

```
I'm an American. I've got rights. When what
1
 2
    you do on your property comes over to my property, I go
    to my government. My government is not going to
 3
    protect me in this case. I have enough experience
 4
5
    seeing that. I will stand up. I will be civilly
6
    disobedient. I hope somebody else has the courage to
    stand up with me. Thank you.
            MR. TEEFEY:
                         Thank you.
            Jeffrey Morten is next. After Jeffrey Morten
9
    will be Dawn Rippel.
10
11
            Is Jeffrey more ton here? Okay. Jeffrey
    Morten is gone. Dawn Rippel will be next. After Dawn
12
    Rippel will be R. Charles Salisbury.
13
                  (The witness was sworn.)
14
            MR. TEEFEY: Thank you. You may proceed.
15
                        Dawn Rippel, R-i-p-p-e-l.
16
            MS. RIPPEL:
            I'm going to wing this, because I didn't know
17
18
    until I came tonight if I would do this. I have the
    same last name as Dr. Nicolas Rippel because I am his
19
20
    blood sister. I am not here to tell you how you should
    feel because there are a lot of valid concerns.
21
    here to tell you who Nicolas is and what I have seen.
22
23
            Now, this is the first time I'll admit it, but
    I am his older sister. I was not the nice, older
24
```

sister, so I am not speaking this because he is my brother. I am speaking this because I have done my research through him, through the Internet, through other farmers.

Nicolas has grown up with myself and two other brothers on a hog farm. We started off with dirt lots with a hot wire fence. We grew -- and not huge, not what he's putting in -- but we did have animals inside buildings, inside farrowing crates, inside gestation stalls.

This is Nicholas's life. He was born into it.

He saved a runt pig, Sambow. I had to ask him, "How old were you?" He was five. So he has cared about animals at least since he was five, if not before that. That animal was like a pet. It walked in the farmland on the farm ground. We would have people stop and tell us there's a pig out. "No. That's my brother's pig."

We were hit in the '80s and '90s with a lot of what the small farmers have been hit with. They had to get out of the hog production. In the late '90s, my farmer asked me, "Would you go into hog production with me?" And I told him, "No." To this day I regret it because I would be one of those farmers in that co-op. But at the time, I saw the hardship each farmer went

through, including my own family, and I was not going
to put my family through that. I had my corporate job.

I wasn't going to give it up.

- So what did Nicolas do? He stayed in the farm business. He schooled himself -- he paid for his schooling, and is continuing to pay for his schooling. He went to four years to Grinnell College in Iowa and four years at the University of Iowa. All for what?

 To become a swine veterinarian.
 - Now, he has pigs in Iowa, but he crosses both lines. He has farms in Iowa he manages; he has farms in Illinois he is managing. He is trying to better the county he grew up in.
 - Watching Nicolas grow up, he was a straight-A student, and that continued through college. He continues to stay up on education, understands there are still the farmers that have the dirt lots, as well as the big farmers.
 - All I ask is: Like Nicolas said, ask a farmer, ask a pig vet, don't go with an agenda. Keep an open mind, sit down, and have a one-on-one conversation. Thank you.
- MR. TEEFEY: Thank you.
- 24 R. Charles Salisbury is next. Cindy Salisbury

```
will be next.
1
 2
            MS. SALISBURY:
                            I pass.
 3
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
                                     Okay.
                   (The witness was sworn.)
 4
 5
            MR. SALISBURY: R. Charles Salisbury,
6
    S-a-l-i-s-b-u-r-y. I'm not a speaker. I'm a farmer
 7
    and a mechanic. I may not make any sense.
                                                 I don't
           Some people say I never do.
            My family has been in Saratoga Township since
9
           My sons are the 6th generation in this township.
10
11
    My great, great grandfather was the first road
    commissioner. My great grandpa worked for the county.
12
    They all farmed also. My grandpa was the road
13
    commissioner for Saratoga Township for 30 years and a
14
    farmer. My dad and my brother have served on township
15
    boards. We have been involved in Saratoga Township all
16
17
    of our lives.
18
            I've raised hogs, farrow finished with about a
    1,000 head a year. I've had 65 cows, fed the calves.
19
20
    We farmed until this type of farm put me out of
    business -- Smithfield, Tyson, this type of farming.
21
    They do not care what happens to the people. They do
22
23
    care what happens to their neighbors. They will raise
24
    their hogs for corporate greed. Period. Make their
```

money. That's all it's about.

They are not going to pay their own way. I'm going to have to subsidize it because their taxes that they pay will not maintain their road. \$10,000 a year will not maintain that road, and it certainly won't make it an 80,000-pound road, which it needs to be. So that means the taxpayers of this township have to subsidize it for this whole operation in different ways. The road and bridge money that's going to the county isn't going to pay for the road repairs that's going to have to be done on the county roads. It's going to have to come out of the taxpayer's money.

I don't care what anybody says. When you drill a well 900 feet into the ground, and mine's 120 feet, it will affect my water supply. You're going to go through a lot of it.

I've raised hogs in confinement and I've raised them in open pens. I'm not blaming the Department of Agriculture for what's going on here, because they have rules to go by. What we have to do as people is we have to change the rules, because that's why there's only a few and a handful of farmers left in this county.

When are they going to stop this? We don't

```
want you in Saratoga township. I'm sorry. Take it
1
 2
    elsewhere where you're wanted. A good neighbor and a
    good person would never do this to their neighbor. I'm
 3
    sorry. That's the way I feel.
 4
5
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you. Next up to
6
    testify is Dennis -- I believe Pilot?
            MR. PYLES: Pyles.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Pyles.
                                             I apologize.
            And then next will be Josh Merdian. Is there a
9
    Josh Merdian here?
10
11
            MR. MERDIAN: Yeah.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Do you still want to
12
    testify? All right.
13
                  (The witness was sworn.)
14
            MR. PYLES:
                        I affirm.
15
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: You affirm. You may
16
17
    proceed.
18
            MR. PYLES: My name is Dennis Pyles.
                                                  I'm
    Saratoga Township road commissioner.
19
20
            Nicolas is going to give in his taxes Saratoga
    township approximately $10,000 a year. Let me give how
21
    much it costs to just maintain a road. We have a 1400
22
23
    where Nicolas is going to propose this hog farm. The
    east end of that, this year, less than a mile, we
24
```

```
ground that up. We added four inches of CA6 road
1
 2
    gravel and we're going to double coat it with seal.
    That is going to cost $86,000. So in other words, it
 3
    would take Nicolas 8.5 years to pay for less than one
 4
5
    mile. So he's going to have to be -- as Mr. Salisbury
6
    said, subsidize it.
            Okay. So I went to Advanced Asphalt, and I
 7
    asked them for three quarters of a mile about upgrading
    it from what it is. I went to them for the purpose --
9
    they are in partnership with our local asphalt guy.
10
    asked them how much would it cost to upgrade that
11
    road -- they know the history of it -- to an
12
    80,000-pound road. We wouldn't have any problems
13
    really tearing up the road as bad. They gave me a cost
14
    between 450 and $500,000. It makes the $10,000 look
15
16
    pretty puny; doesn't it?
17
            So as far as the road district, I object to
18
    this because we have to maintain it. Thank you.
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you. After Josh
19
    Merdian will be Mike Haag. Is Mike still here?
20
    you want to testify? All right.
21
                  (The witness was sworn.)
22
23
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: You may proceed.
24
            MR. MERDIAN: My name is Josh Merdian,
```

M-e-r-d-i-a-n. 1 I have lived and farmed near this proposed 2 facility. I'm excited that this new facility appears 3 to meet the criteria set forth by the LMFA. I'm glad 4 5 that new facility will provide local jobs, taxes 6 revenues, and consume the corn and soybeans that I produce on my farm. I'm also happy that this farm business will add value to our locally grown products. I think it's 9 important to remember that the agriculture business 10 needs to continue to grow in order for all of us to 11 make a living in it. 12 In closing, I support the proposed Buffalo Run 13 sow farm. Thank you. 14 HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: 15 Thank you. Make Haag is up next. After that will be Pat 16 Sloan. 17 (The witness was sworn.) 18 HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: You may proceed. 19 20 MR. HAAG: Thank you for the opportunity to come and speak to this group tonight. My name is Mike 21 Haaq. I'm from Livingston County. I'm a fourth 22 23 generation farmer, just like a lot of you that were

talking about four generations.

24

I was raised on a farm with about 800 sows. 1

children on that same farm with 1,200 sows.

3

4

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 They were right in the backyard. I now raise my three

lot misnomer out there, and I was sitting back there

thinking about this. I remember 30 years ago when we 5

talked about building 1,200 sows -- I mean, it got some 6

people thinking because that was an awful big hog farm. 7

Technology has done amazing things. I've managed to

raise three wonderful children on that site, and it's 9

given me that opportunity. I believe also that it's

about passing it onto that next generation. 11

I'm extremely excited to hear Mr. Rippel talk about bringing in new food production unit to Illinois. What other businesses are coming to Illinois today? Ι mean, we hear people leaving the state, businesses leaving the state. We just passed a gazillion more taxes this week. We got to find ways to do it. I commend you for bringing a facility back to Illinois.

Pork Power is a program that I've been passionate about over the years. Illinois Pork, Illinois Corn, Illinois Soy have managed to give 771,000 pounds of pork back to food pantries throughout the state in the last 10 years. I think that's an extremely lofty thing to be doing. I know this --

these guys represent that also.

I think this is a great opportunity for the neighbors to embrace this technology. I really do believe -- and I know I'm not doing that -- but I honestly believe that there are some great jobs coming to your community. I believe it will help with the corn prices and soybean prices. There was a little bit of talk earlier about how many people eat corn. I can tell you that very few people eat corn, but a lot of people eat pork. Pork eats corn.

Grain farmers need livestock, and they need a lot of livestock to increases bases in their areas. I also wrote it down earlier that I've always considered hog manure to be organic. Now, I call that because it adds to the organic matter of the soil. It doesn't just produce the main ingredients. It also creates organic matter. I think if the local farmers would embrace this, there's going to be some opportunities to improve that farm ground around this area.

With that, I'd just like to, again, thank
Nicolas, and I wish him luck. I hope this community
can embrace him in the future.

I was also going to say I toured the Sandy

Creek facility. I've been around hog farms my entire

```
life, and the technology in that farm was just
1
 2
    mind-boggling. I hope they do an open house when this
    opens, and I hope everybody has the opportunity to see
 3
    just the amazing technology that is going into this
 4
5
    unit.
           Thank you.
6
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
                                     Thank you.
 7
            Pat Sloan is next. After Pat Sloan is Steven
    Clanin.
            MR. CLANIN: I'm going to pass.
9
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Okay. So after Pat
10
    Sloan will be Darrell Schlosser.
11
                   (The witness was sworn.)
12
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
                                     Thank you. You may
13
14
    proceed.
                        I like how you cut the lights out
15
            MR. SLOAN:
    up here. Hopefully I can read my notes. If not, I'll
16
    go longer than three minutes.
17
18
            I'm the county engineer for Marshall-Putnam
    County. I'm also the zoning administer, but I'm not
19
20
    going to talk about any zoning issues.
            As far as -- and I will for the record briefly
21
    give my professional qualifications, since I will be
22
    offering professional evidence, an opinion. I have a
23
    BS Degree in civil engineering from the University of
24
```

Illinois. I have a Master of Science degree in
agricultural engineering from the University of

Kentucky. I received my professional engineering
license in 1988. I have 27 years in the consulting
business, clients of all sizes from individuals and
farmers all the way up to including in the federal
government.

Right now, for the last six years, I've been with you people, Marshall and Putnam County. They're my clients through the County board and through the Road Commissioner Dennis Pyles. I work with all of these people every day. This is an appointed position, and I've been appointed for another six years.

I'm not supporting or -- I'm not taking any position on the hog farm. I'm just asking that they comply with the laws and rules as any other resident of Marshall County or any other developer.

I'm responsible for approximately 800 miles of roads in Marshall-Putnam and 150 bridges. Last year we invested \$200,000 in LaPrairie Road, and shortly in the next week or two we're going to start replacing a bridge within one mile of this facility. We'll be spending \$300,000, just to put into context how much of the tax dollars are going to be coming from this

facility.

So as I mentioned, any users, including the farmers that have grain bins out there, they know what the rules are. Buffalo Run will need to comply with vehicle and the highway code just as any other user.

Now, I've tried to work with Mr. Rippel, and I've given him a proposal, which he has not answered. I've offered written testimony here, which will need to be amended, which I will do. Tonight I was told they're going to live within the seasonal weight limits. However, the slide that was put up there was not correct, because it showed an ADT on 1400 that would correspond with that weight limit. So hopefully the Department of Ag will do the proper inquires and consider that issue. I will be glad to help.

So as far as I can tell right now, this facility is not complying with criteria's 3, 7, or 8, particularly criteria 7 in the traffic patterns.

Just specifics, briefly, Western road is a

Class 3 route. No issues there. LaPrairie Road, which
this is a county road, it is under my jurisdiction.

There are more roads under the county jurisdiction than
there are under Saratoga Township. We're the first
roads to get to the facility. LaPrairie Road is a

```
posted road. It has seasonal weight limits. No one
1
    has explained to me how the proposed traffic plan
 2
 3
    will -- proposed transportation plan will meet those
    posted limits.
 4
 5
            So I made a generous offer to Mr. Rippel.
                                                        It's
6
    going to cost 320,000 to upgrade LaPrairie road to a
    Class 3 truck route. We would obtain -- or seek and
 7
    probably obtain a truck access route program grant for
    $135,000, but we need help with the balance on that.
9
    Any other developer does the same thing. I'm working
10
11
    with MarkWest Energy right now for a road use
    agreement. I'm working with the wind farm on a road
12
    use agreement. I have these all of the time.
13
            So that's all I'm asking, is that as a
14
    developer he does the same as everybody else.
15
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
16
                                     Thank you.
            Up next is Darrell Schlosser. After
17
18
    Mr. Schlosser will be Jennifer Tirey.
                   (The witness was sworn.)
19
2.0
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: You may proceed.
                            The reason I was --
21
            MR. SCHLOSSER:
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: If you could please
22
    state your name and spell it for the record.
23
            MR. SCHLOSSER: Darrell Schlosser,
24
```

S-c-h-l-o-s-s-e-r.

The reason I was interested in this to begin with is because I've farmed in Marshall County for 36 years, and I've always worked another job. The latest that I've had for the last 24 years is in the fertilizer business. I see all kinds of fertilizer, soil tests. We're on the farms. I run from Ohio to Illinois to the north.

What I've noticed over the years is any of the ground that had manure on it is just so much better. The organic matter is better. The nutrient value is better. The crop production value is better. It's just -- you can buy commercial fertilizer, but you can't duplicate organic carbon that is in manure.

Mr. Haag brought up the organic matter, and that's kind of where I'm going.

If you look at where these soils were when we took over the -- you know, the settlers took over, we had seven to eight percent organic matter on these prairie soils in this area. Now, for every one percent organic matter, that's 25 percent more water holding capacity for next year's crop. For every one percent is five times nutrient availability for next year's crop.

How huge is that? It's unbelievable. You know where most of the area cropland is now for organic area for this area? Two to four, and some of it below two and some of it below one.

When the organic matter gets low like that, you having more erosion problems because the sticky part that holds the soil together gets less and less. It actually magnifies itself and the erosion becomes worse and you lose your organic matter even faster than what we've been losing it. Right now it's just -- the degradation just keeps going on.

Well, a year or two ago, I was at a meeting with Dr. Jerry Hatfield from the soil tilth lab in Iowa, and Jerry said that they had come up with a recipe for gaining organic matter in the soil that was just phenomenal. They just discovered it. They had about 30 different sites between Illinois, Indiana, Iowa where they were trying this, and it was working out great. They were gaining one percent in three to give years, and sometimes two percent in seven.

That's huge. The earth made the organic matter seven or eight, and it took thousands of years to do it. It's really slow. It's not very efficient. He was doing this in, you know, three to seven years.

So I really interested in how he did that. His recipe for gaining organic matter was corn on corn, no till or strip till -- don't work the ground, you lose it and burn all of the mechanic matter -- liquid hog manure, and cover crops to keep something green growing on it all of the time.

They were gaining -- this is about replenishing the soil and maintaining it to take it back to where it will last for humanity, instead of where history takes us when you look back to Rome and Greece and where some of the ports of the ocean that used to be in Rome are 20 miles inland now.

So that's where I was coming from with why I wanted this facility. I want the manure. It's a liquid fertilizer plant to me. I think a lot of the area farmers will benefit, the land will benefit, and that's why I want it. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you.

Jennifer Tirey is next. After Jennifer is

Joyce Blumenshine. Is Joyce still here? Did I say

your name right? No. All right. Jennifer.

(The witness was sworn.)

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: You may proceed.

MS. TIREY: Good evening, everyone. My name is

- Jennifer Tirey, and I work with the Illinois Pork
 Producers Association. I represent 1,600 men and women
 who work in pig farms every day of their lives, and
 they have done for many generations.
- I thank you for sticking it out tonight. I

 know it's kind of warm in here, so thank you for being

 here. We as the Association and as pig farmers

 appreciate this process and the transparency so you can

 ask questions and find out more about this particular

 operation in Marshall County.
 - A couple things I wanted to clarify from some past speakers.

- One, was about the Illinois Department of Ag's involvement with the legislative branch. The legislative hearing that was referenced actually occurred in November of 2017. The Illinois Department of Agriculture and the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency were invited to speak by the chairman of the Senate Agricultural Committee.
- Now, as you probably understand in the state of Illinois, we have a large urban representation. A lot of our legislators that want to learn about the Livestock Management Facilities Act have no agriculture in their district, so they invited both of those

agencies to come and present to the legislature about
the whole process, the regulations that our farmers
should follow, and all of the regulations the occur
after the farm is built.

So I just want to make you understand that the Department -- both the Department of Agriculture and EPA are not there advocating for one side or another. They're there to give factional information to legislators that are consumers like many other consumers that have no knowledge of agricultural. They don't live in ag counties that are zoned for agricultural like Marshall County. So we have to be able to give them that information so that they have a better understanding of our process. I just wanted to make sure you all understood that.

One other thing that I wanted to point out was another speaker talked about the one percent EPA violation. I want to break that number down just a little bit.

So the last USDA census was in 2012. There were 35,000 livestock farms. Not just hog farms, but cattle farms, horse farms, goats, sheep. That's all livestock in the state of Illinois. There were 24 violations on all livestock farms. That's less than

```
one percent. So out of 35,000 livestock farms of all
1
 2
    types of species, 24 violations on those same types of
    farms.
 3
            So I just wanted you to know that when we give
 4
 5
    you facts, we have that information to back it.
6
    work really hard to tell our story, and I'm very, very
    proud to represent the men and women that work very
    hard and want to raise that animal, raise the products
    to make sure it's safe for your consumption as a
9
               Thank you very much.
10
    consumer.
11
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you.
            Nic Anderson will be next.
12
                   (The witness was sworn.)
13
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: You may proceed.
14
15
            MS. BLUMENSHINE.
                              Thank you. My name is Joyce,
    J-o-y-c-e, Blumenshine, B-l-u-m-e-n-s-h-i-n-e.
16
            I'm a volunteer and member of the board of
17
18
    Heart of Illinois Sierra Club. The Sierra club has as
    its motto to protect the environment for our families
19
2.0
    and for our future.
            I'm here tonight to just give a few points to
21
    please ask the Illinois Department of Agricultural to
22
23
    closely consider that this proposal does not meet
    numerous points of the LMFA.
```

24

Regarding No. 2, it was clear from comments made by Maurer-Stutz engineer tonight that the design location and operation -- we contend will not protect the environment. It is my opinion because of what they said, that the water table is so high, that this location is really very ill-advised.

Concerns for pollution via sand lenses that are clearly indicated below this facility could mean that any kind of water contamination will travel in multiple direction. It could travel undetected even from the perimeters around the building that were cited to be the protection system. That means water pollution of the water shed.

No. 3 is also concern about incompatibility of the surrounding area. That was commented on by many of the local residents and their hope for locally appropriate agriculture and true local agricultural that is considered not only for its size, but the fact that animals are living, feeling, smart critters that deserve a life.

No. 4, the facility is in an environmentally sensitive area. Clearly there is an aquifer layer below this. Again, I urge the Illinois Department of Agriculture to look critically at risks to the

groundwater and the facts that this plan as we heard described tonight is not adequate nor protective.

No. 6, odor control claims. It is clear from comments and from other individuals who have lived near CAFOs and endured the smells that plans to reduce or minimize odors do not do that successfully 365 days a year. Until that can be done, it is a consideration of myself that other people should not be unwillingly polluted or have their space violated by toxic fumes and smells that will cause illness.

And No. 7, I already talked about regarding traffic concerns.

No. 8, Marshall County is a beautiful rural area with important streams that feed into waters of our state. Those are legally significant waters of the state, meaning they go into such major national rivers such as the Mississippi. Each and every person here is responsible for a dead zone in the Gulf of Mexico the size of the state of New Jersey, where there's so little oxygen no critters can live. That is a nonproductive area.

We are killing this plant, and this permit should be denied. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you.

Nic Anderson is next. After Nic Anderson is Greg Steil.

(The witness was sworn.)

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: You may proceed.

MR. ANDERSON: Good evening. My name is Nic Anderson, N-i-c A-n-d-e-r-s-o-n. I work with the Illinois Livestock Development Group.

There's a couple clarifications tonight, a few of the speakers talked about the eight siting criteria If the Sierra Club has an opinion that has come facts behind it, I think that would be appropriate to share with the Act. But "appropriate local agricultural," I don't know that that's defined in the county. I hope that I can maybe get a definition of that from them.

Also a little bit of contention -- I know a lot of folks talked about some other things, and I'll try not to repeat that -- but property values were brought up, properties over on Sandy Creek that were comparable to this neck of the woods.

I'm going to enter in the documents -- the tax base from two properties. The property to the south of Sandy Creek is at 3,200 feet, much like the nearest residence here on this farm. It sold for \$355,000. The average home price in Marshall County is \$122,000.

The owner of that property is not here too protest odor or any issues that they had. They paid some pretty good money to come to that farm and purchase that.

I've got that documentation here.

And then also to the north in LaSalle County, a house sold for \$255,000 in LaSalle County. Both of these residences were owned by residents that were in opposition to Sandy Creek and were involved in the lawsuit. One of the contentions was that property value would decrease, so I listed the last years of property values on this document from the tax code and showed that that does not exist for the Department of Agriculture's record.

Not only did it not decrease the value, some of them -- the one property in LaSalle County went up according to that assessed value. I'll hand those in here at the end of the discussion.

On the soil part of those things -- and,

Darrell, great to meet you tonight. I see your vision

of what can be done with the manure on your operation.

So the 28 acres or so that's being developed and poured

concrete over, the soil benefit from the manure from

this farm is going to the benefit that 1,200 to 1,500

acres far more than that 20 acres.

We're going to utilize the efficiency of the animals that can be produced there, invest in the technology to care appropriately for appropriate agriculture in Illinois to get the best out of the animals in the performance and the care, and invest in jobs and rural development here in Marshall County. Sandy Creek is a great example of that.

The other thing that was brought up -- before I run out of town -- Sandy Creek sure had some odor complaints. We all agree it happened, and we knew it happened. The EPA came out and investigated that.

There have been no odor violations, no odor compliance reports or issues filed with Sandy Creek. They didn't find anything. They have no odor complaints in violation on that farm, and we don't expect any here.

The best place for this farm in Illinois is in Saratoga County. They did their homework and found a great place and a great farmer to work with. Hats off to you, Nicolas and your team, and future success. Thank you to the Department of Agriculture and the County board. I think this is a great opportunity for agricultural in Marshall County.

I have a document to enter into the record.

HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you.

```
Greg?
1
 2
            MR. STEIL: Yes, sir.
            MR. TEEFEY: Greg Steil will be our next
 3
    testimony of the evening.
 4
 5
            MR. STEIL:
                        It's pronounced Steil.
                   (The witness was sworn.)
6
 7
            HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY:
                                     You may proceed.
            MR. STEIL:
                        Thank you to everybody for coming
    out here, both sides pro and con.
9
            I am a con because I am collateral damage to
10
    the Sandy Creek facility. I smell it all the time.
11
    When you have to get up in the middle of the night to
12
    close your windows, it's not the most fun. I have had
13
    to leave my property because of the smell.
14
            It is -- we are 1.7 miles to the west of it,
15
    but the application of the effluent -- I will not ever
16
    call it nutrient -- comes up to within a quarter mile
17
18
    of my house. I have one well on my property that is 25
    feet deep, has six feet of water in it all of the time.
19
2.0
     You can't drink that because of the nitrates and other
    run off from agriculture.
21
            To top that all off, I consider myself to be an
22
    innocent victim at war with the Illinois Department of
23
    Agriculture -- agriculture in general because I have no
24
```

standing to say anything about it whatsoever. So when
they want to shut somebody up, they threaten a lawsuit.

So every year I end up filing complaints with the
Illinois Department of Agriculture because of off-site

chemical applications.

- I have 10 gardens. We sell this stuff at farmer's markets, but you can't sell a crop of pees that are burned bright yellow. You just can't do that. You throw them away because you're not going to eat them.
- So I'm at war with agriculture, even though I recognize how important it is in this country. No matter how many pigs there were in this county in 1959 and 1949, if there's the same amount of pigs now, there's less then a tenth of the amount of farmers. That is what's wrong with this facility. We need many more small farmers. Farming and agriculture is the back bone of this country. It built it. We need more small farmers, not that.

Do you realize that center building in that picture there -- you can have two football games in that. That's how long that is. You can have two football games if you are not fussy about the interior.

Thank you very much. Thank you everybody for

coming out. 1 2 HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you. At this time, I would like to enter into the 3 4 record as Exhibit No. 4 the written testimony along 5 with any exhibits that were introduced during the oral 6 testimony. Entered into the record as Exhibit No. 5 is the sign-up sheet for oral testimony. Entered into the record as Exhibit No. 6 is the 9 attendance sign-up sheet. 10 That concludes the oral testimony portion of 11 tonight's meeting. 12 At this time does the facility have any closing 13 remarks? They are indicating that they do not. 14 Are there any closing remarks from the 15 Department? 16 17 MR. GOETSCH: I just would like to say that on 18 behalf of the Department of Agriculture, Bureau of environmental programs, I'd like to thank you all for 19 20 your participation this evening. Let me assure you that the Department does 21 appreciate the time and the effort that you have put 22 23 forward to attend this evening, and we are certainly

I'm sure that the

24

interested in your comments.

Marshall County Board will consider them as they develop their recommendation to the Department and we will certainly consider them as we move forward through this evaluation process. Thank you very much. HEARING OFFICER TEEFEY: Thank you. As mentioned earlier, a copy of the transcript of tonight's public meeting will be provided to the County Board. For any other person who desires a copy of the transcript, it will also be available by contacting the court reporter. Thank you for your attendance tonight. This public informational meeting is hereby closed. (9:57 p.m., proceedings concluded.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

I, ISAIAH ROBERTS, a Certified Shorthand Reporter (IL) and Registered Professional Reporter for the State of Illinois, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

16

17

Illinois CSR # 084-004890

Isaiah Roberts 18

20

19

21

22

24

23